

THE  
CAMBRIDGE  
MATHEMATICAL JOURNAL.

*First October 1845  
10/- 5/-*

VOL. IV.

EDITED BY R. L. ELLIS, M.A.  
FELLOW OF TRINITY COLLEGE, CAMBRIDGE.

Οὐδὲ μὲν οὐδὲ οἱ ἀναρχοὶ ἔσαν, πόθεόν γε μὲν ἀρχόν.

CAMBRIDGE:  
PUBLISHED BY E. JOHNSON, TRINITY STREET;  
AND WHITTAKER & CO., LONDON.

1845

N M 1  
C 144 V. 4  
CAMBRIDGE.

PRINTED BY METCALFE AND PALMER, TRINITY-STREET.

JUL 11 1924  
194436  
Math.

МУКОМЛЯД  
ЗООЛІКО  
УЯВЛІ

# THE CAMBRIDGE MATHEMATICAL JOURNAL.

VOL. IV.]

NOVEMBER, 1843.

[No. XIX.

## I.—ON THE EVALUATION OF DEFINITE MULTIPLE INTEGRALS.

By R. L. ELLIS, M.A., Fellow of Trinity College.

THE following pages contain some general results obtained by means of Fourier's theorem. A few words will be sufficient to explain the manner in which it has been applied.

A definite multiple integral, where the limits are given by the inequality

$$f(xy\dots) \leq h_1 \leq h,$$

may be treated as if the limits of the different variables were independent of one another, provided the function under the signs of integration be considered discontinuous, and equal to zero whenever  $f(xy\dots)$  transgresses the assigned limits  $h_1$  and  $h$ . This idea has been made use of by M. Lejeune Dirichlet. It had, however, occurred to me before I was acquainted with his paper on multiple integrals, and the way in which I have applied it is, I believe, new.

Suppose the function to be integrated were of the form  $\phi(xy\dots) \psi\{f(xy\dots)\}$ : the limits being given by the inequality already mentioned. Then, by Fourier's theorem, writing  $f.$  for  $f(xy\dots)$ ,

$$\psi f. = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty da \int_{h_1}^h \psi u. \cos a (f. - u) du,$$

for all values of  $f.$  which lie between  $h_1$  and  $h$ ; moreover for the purposes of integration the formula may be applied, except in particular cases, so as to include these limiting values. (See Poisson, *Théorie de la Chaleur*); while for all

values of  $f$ , which lie without these limits, the second side of the equation is equal to zero. Consequently the integral

$$\int dx \int dy \dots \phi(xy \dots) \psi\{f(xy \dots)\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{h_1}^h \psi u du \int_0^\infty da \int dx \int dy \dots \phi(xy \dots) \cos a(f - u). \dots \dots \dots (1)$$

the limits on the first side being given in the manner already mentioned. Those of the integrations with respect to  $x, y, \&c.$  are arbitrary, provided they include all values of the variables which satisfy the given inequality.

Again, if in the given multiple integral the limits were determined by the single relation  $f \leq h$ , joined to the conditions that  $x, y, \&c.$  were to have no values less than certain assigned limits; *e.g.* if we were to consider only positive values of the variables, the formula (1) would still apply with a slight modification. The inferior limit of integration with respect to  $u$  would be arbitrary, provided it included all the values which could be given to  $f$ , by admissible values of the variables, while the inferior limits of integration for  $x, y, \&c.$  would be determined by the particular conditions of the case.

Let us take, as an example of the method, the integral

$$\int dx \int dy \dots x^{a-1} y^{b-1} z^{c-1} \dots f(mx + ny + \dots). \dots \dots (A),$$

$m, n, \&c.$  being all positive, and the limits being given by

$$mx + ny + \dots \leq h,$$

no negative values of the variables being admitted. In this case (1) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \int dx \int dy \dots x^{a-1} y^{b-1} \dots f(mx + ny + \dots) \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{h_1}^h \int du \int_0^\infty da \int dx \int dy \dots x^{a-1} y^{b-1} \dots \cos a(mx + ny + \dots - u) \dots \dots \dots (2), \end{aligned}$$

and the integrations with respect to  $x, y, \&c.$  may be conveniently extended to infinity; ( $h_1$ , it should be observed is an arbitrary quantity  $< 0$ ).

I first seek the value of

$$\int_0^\infty dx \int_0^\infty dy \dots x^{a-1} y^{b-1} \dots \cos a(mx + ny + \dots - u) = (B).$$

Integrating first for  $x$ , we get

$$(B) = \frac{\Gamma(a)}{m^a a^a} \int_0^\infty dy \dots y^{b-1} \dots \cos \left\{ a \frac{\pi}{2} + a(ny + \dots - u) \right\}.$$

This follows from the formulæ

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty x^{a-1} \cos ax dx &= \frac{\Gamma(a)}{a^a} \cos a \frac{\pi}{2} \\ \int_0^\infty x^{a-1} \sin ax dx &= \frac{\Gamma(a)}{a^a} \sin a \frac{\pi}{2} \end{aligned} \right\} \dots \dots \dots (\gamma).$$

Integrating in a similar manner for  $y$ , &c. successively, we get ultimately

$$(B) = \frac{\Gamma(a) \Gamma(b) \dots}{m^a n^b \dots a^{a+b+\dots}} \cos \left\{ (a+b+\dots) \frac{\pi}{2} - au \right\}.$$

Now by  $(\gamma)$ , we easily see that

$$\int_0^\infty v^{a+b+\dots-1} \cos a(v-u) dv = \frac{\Gamma(a+b+\dots)}{a^{a+b+\dots}} \cos \left\{ (a+b+\dots) \frac{\pi}{2} - au \right\},$$

and therefore

$$(B) = \frac{1}{m^a n^b \dots} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(a) \Gamma(b) \dots}{\Gamma(a+b+\dots)} \int_0^\infty v^{a+b+\dots-1} \cos a(v-u) dr.$$

$$\text{Hence } \int_0^\infty da (B) = \frac{\pi}{m^a n^b \dots} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(a) \Gamma(b) \dots}{\Gamma(a+b+\dots)} u^{a+b+\dots-1},$$

for all positive values of  $u$ , and = 0 for all negative values, by Fourier's theorem. Consequently the second side of (2) becomes

$$\frac{1}{m^a n^b \dots} \frac{\Gamma(a) \Gamma(b) \dots}{\Gamma(a+b+\dots)} \int_0^h f u u^{a+b+\dots-1} du + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{h_1}^0 f u \cdot 0 \cdot du.$$

Thus finally

$$\begin{aligned} &\int dx \int dy \dots x^{a-1} y^{b-1} \dots f(mx+ny+\dots) \\ &= \frac{1}{m^a n^b \dots} \frac{\Gamma(a) \Gamma(b) \dots}{\Gamma(a+b+\dots)} \int_0^h f u u^{a+b+\dots-1} du \dots \dots \dots (3); \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to Liouville's extension of Dirichlet's theorem.

I proceed to evaluate the definite integral

$$\int_0^h dx \int_0^y dy \dots e^{-(max+ny+\dots)} f(mx+ny+\dots) \dots \dots (E),$$

$ab \dots$  and  $mn \dots$  being all positive, and the limits being given by

$$mx+ny+\dots \leq h.$$

By the general formula

$$E = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{h_1}^h f u du \int_0^\infty da \int_0^\infty dx \int_0^\infty dy \dots e^{-(max+ny+\dots)} \cos a(mx+ny+\dots-u)$$

$(h_1 < 0).$

Let  $F = \int_0^\infty dx \int_0^\infty dy \dots e^{-(max+nby+\dots)} \cos a(mx+ny+\dots-u)$ ;

then  $F = \cos au \int_0^\infty dx \int_0^\infty dy \dots e^{-(max+nby+\dots)} \cos a(mx+ny+\dots)$   
 $+ \sin au \int_0^\infty dx \int_0^\infty dy \dots e^{-(max+nby+\dots)} \sin a(mx+ny+\dots)$ ;

which we may put equal to  $G \cos au + H \sin au$ .

First to find the value of  $G$

$$= \int_0^\infty dx \int_0^\infty dy \dots e^{-(max+nby+\dots)} \cos a(mx+ny+\dots).$$

Develope the cosine; the result is composed of terms, each containing sines or cosines of all the variables.

Also by the formulæ

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty e^{-max} \cos a mx dx &= \frac{a}{m(a^2 + a^2)} \\ \int_0^\infty e^{-max} \sin a mx dx &= \frac{a}{m(a^2 + a^2)} \end{aligned} \right\} \dots \dots \dots (1);$$

we see that every factor whether sine or cosine introduces on integration a factor of the form  $\frac{1}{m(a^2 + a^2)}$ . Moreover a sine factor introduces  $a$  in the numerator, a cosine factor  $a$  or  $b$  or &c.

Let  $P$  represent the continued product of  $a, b, c$  &c. and  $D$  that of  $m(a^2 + a^2) n(b^2 + a^2)$  &c. Then  $G = \frac{P}{D} \Sigma C \frac{a^\mu}{ab\dots}$ , where  $\mu$  is a positive integer less than the whole number of variables in  $E$ , and equal to the number of factors in the denominator of  $\frac{a^\mu}{ab\dots}$ , and  $C$  is some coefficient.

A little consideration shows, that if we develope

$$\cos a \left( \frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \dots \right)$$

in a series of powers and products of

$$\frac{1}{a} \frac{1}{b} \dots$$

and neglect all terms involving powers above the first of these quantities, the result will be  $= \Sigma C \frac{a^\mu}{ab\dots}$ .

Consequently

$$\Sigma C \frac{a^\mu}{ab \dots} = 1 - a^2 \Sigma \frac{1}{a} \frac{1}{b} + a^4 \Sigma \frac{1}{a} \frac{1}{b} \frac{1}{c} \frac{1}{f} - \text{&c.}$$

$\Sigma \frac{1}{a} \frac{1}{b}$  denoting the combinations two and two of the quantities  $\frac{1}{a}, \frac{1}{b}, \dots$ ; and so of the rest. For in the development of  $(A + B + \dots)^m$  where  $m$  is not greater than the number of quantities  $A, B, \text{&c.}$  there is always a term involving no power above the first of any of these quantities, and its coefficient is  $1.2 \dots m$ . This term is obviously the sum of the combinations  $m$  and  $m$  together of  $A, B, \text{&c.}$ , and that its coefficient is equal to  $1.2.3 \dots m$ , appears from the polynomial theorem, viz.

$$(A + B + \dots)^m = \Sigma \frac{1.2 \dots m}{1.2 \dots p.1.2 \dots q \text{ &c.}} A^p B^q \dots$$

$$\text{Thus } G = \frac{p_t - a^2 p_{t-2} + a^4 p_{t-4} - \text{&c.}}{mn \dots (a^2 + a^2)(b^2 + a^2) \dots} \dots \dots (4);$$

where  $p_t, p_{t-2} \text{ &c.}$  are the alternate coefficients of the equation

$$v^t - p_1 v^{t-1} + \text{&c.} \pm p_{t-1} v \mp p_t = 0,$$

whose roots are  $a, b, c \text{ &c.}$  (I suppose  $t$  to be the number of variables in  $E$ ). In precisely the same way we should find

$$H = \frac{a p_{t-1} - a^3 p_{t-3} + \text{&c.}}{mn \dots (a^2 + a^2)(b^2 + a^2) \dots} \dots \dots (5).$$

Next to find the values of

$$\int_0^\infty G \cos auda \text{ and } \int_0^\infty H \cos auda.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Let } K &= \int_0^\infty \frac{\cos auda}{(a^2 + a^2)(b^2 + a^2) \dots} \\ &= \Sigma \frac{1}{(b^2 - a^2)(c^2 - a^2) \dots} \int_0^\infty \frac{\cos auda}{a^2 + a^2}; \\ \therefore K &= \frac{\pi}{2} \Sigma \frac{1}{a(b^2 - a^2)(c^2 - a^2) \dots} e^{i au} \dots \dots (6), \end{aligned}$$

the upper sign is to be taken when  $u$  is  $> 0$ .

By differentiating this for  $a$ , we have the value of

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{a \sin auda}{(a^2 + a^2)(b^2 + a^2) \dots} = \pm \frac{\pi}{2} \Sigma \frac{1}{(b^2 - a^2)(c^2 - a^2) \dots} e^{i au} \dots \dots (7),$$

and so by repeated differentiations we find the values of all the integrals which enter into  $\int_0^\infty G \cos auda$  and  $\int_0^\infty H \sin auda$ .

Thus

$$F = \frac{\pi}{2mn} \Sigma \{ p_t + ap_{t-1} + \&c. + a^t \} \frac{e^{-au}}{a(b^2 - a^2)(c^2 - a^2) \dots} \dots (8),$$

when  $u$  is  $> 0$ , and

$$F = \frac{\pi}{2mn} \Sigma \{ p_t - ap_{t-1} + \&c. \pm a^t \} \frac{e^{-au}}{a(b^2 - a^2)(c^2 - a^2) \dots} \dots (9),$$

when  $u$  is  $< 0$ .

$$\text{But } p_t - ap_{t-1} + \&c. \pm a^t = 0.$$

Hence  $F = 0$ , when  $u$  is  $< 0$ , and therefore in (E) we may make  $h_1 = 0$ , so that the limits of integration for  $u$  are 0 and  $h$ .

$$\text{Again } a^t + p_1 a^{t-1} + \&c. = (a + a)(a + b) \dots$$

$$\text{and } 2a(b^2 - a^2)(c^2 - a^2) \dots = \{2a(a + b) \dots\} \{(b - a)(c - a) \dots\}.$$

Therefore

$$\frac{a^t + p_1 a^{t-1} + \&c.}{2a(b^2 - a^2)(c^2 - a^2) \dots} = \frac{1}{(b - a)(c - a) \dots}$$

$$\text{and } F = \frac{\pi}{mn} \Sigma \frac{e^{-au}}{(b - a)(c - a) \dots} \dots (10),$$

and therefore finally

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^h dx \int_0^y \dots e^{-(max+ny+\dots)} f(mx + ny + \dots) \\ = \frac{1}{mn} \Sigma \frac{\int_0^h f u e^{-au} du}{(b - a)(c - a) \dots} \dots (11). \end{aligned}$$

If  $a = b = c = \&c. = A$ , the first side of this equation

$$= \frac{1}{mn} \frac{1}{\Gamma(t)} \int_0^h f u e^{-Au} u^{t-1} du \text{ by (3).}$$

As a verification of our analysis we may remark, that in this case

$$\Sigma \frac{e^{-au}}{(b - a)(c - a) \dots} = e^{-Au} \frac{u^{t-1}}{\Gamma(t)};$$

for we have, what is probably a known result, and which at any rate may be easily proved

$$\Sigma \frac{F(a)}{(b - a)(c - a) \dots} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(t)} F^{(t-1)}(A) \text{ when } a = b = \&c. = A,$$

where  $F^{(p)}(A)$  denotes the  $p^{\text{th}}$  derived function of  $F(A)$ : and this formula applied to the case where  $F(a) = e^{-au}$  gives the above written result.

By differentiating (11) for  $a, b, c, \&c. \lambda, \mu, \nu, \&c.$  times respectively,  $\lambda, \mu, \nu, \&c.$  being integral or fractional, and dividing by  $m^\lambda, n^\mu, p^\nu, \&c.$  we should obtain the value of

$$\int_0^h dx \int_0^y \dots e^{-(max+ny+\dots)} f(mx + ny + \dots) x^\lambda y^\mu \dots$$

which would include every case of (3). But the investigation would be complex, and I shall therefore only indicate it.

In a future number of the journal I may perhaps apply the method to some other cases, and particularly with regard to such multiple integrals as

$$\int dx \int dy \dots \phi(xy \dots) f[\psi(xy \dots)] F[\chi(xy \dots)], \text{ &c.}$$

the limits being given by the series of inequalities,

$$\psi. > h_1 < h,$$

$$\chi. > k_1 < k,$$

$$\text{&c.} > \text{&c.} < \text{&c.}$$

In theory, such an integral is reducible to a multiple integral of as many variables as there are limiting inequalities. But it is not easy to find cases in which this reduction can be actually effected.

## II.—ON THE EQUATIONS OF MOTION OF ROTATION.

By Andrew Bell.

THE equations of the motion of rotation of a solid body, acted on by any forces, its centre of inertia being fixed, may be established in a comparatively concise manner by means of one of the theorems contained in the paper at p. 213, vol. III. of this Journal.

Let  $x', y', z'$  be the principal axes of the body passing through its centre of inertia, and let  $p, q, r$  be the angular velocities about them respectively, then these velocities are the constituents of an angular velocity  $\omega$  about the instantaneous axis; also let  $A, B, C$  be the respective moments of inertia about these axes.

If  $x', y', z'$  are the constituents of the impressed forces parallel respectively to these axes, then the moments of these forces about these axes being denoted respectively by  $N, M, L$ , and  $\Delta m$  being an element of the body, the moment about the axis ( $x'$ ) is

$$N = \Sigma (y'z' - z'y') \Delta m.$$

Again, the effective forces in reference to ( $x'$ ) are the moving force about it arising from the constituent rotation around this axis, and also the effect of the centrifugal force arising from the rotation about the instantaneous axis in producing rotation about the axis ( $x'$ ). Now  $A$  is the mass of equivalent inertia with the body, at a distance unity from the axis ( $x'$ ),

$p$  is the absolute velocity at that distance, and therefore  $\frac{dp}{dt}$

is the accelerating force and  $A \frac{dp}{dt}$  the moving force at the same distance. Also it has been proved, in the third article of the paper referred to above, that the effect of the centrifugal force will be obtained by decomposing the rotation about the instantaneous axis into its constituent rotations about  $(x')$  and another axis  $(z)$  in the same plane with the two former, and perpendicular to  $(x')$ , and then finding the similar effect of the rotation about  $(z)$  in producing rotation about  $(x')$ . This new axis  $(z)$  is evidently the resultant axis of  $(y')$  and  $(z')$ . If, therefore  $\omega'$  is the angular velocity around it and  $(y)$  an axis perpendicular to the plane of  $x'y$ , the required force is

$$= \omega'^2 \Sigma yz \Delta m.$$

This expression will be transformed into one in terms of  $y', z'$  by substituting these values

$$y = y' \cos \theta - z' \sin \theta,$$

$$z = y' \sin \theta + z' \cos \theta;$$

whence  $\omega'^2 \Sigma yz \Delta m$

$$= \omega'^2 \sin \theta \cos \theta \Sigma (y'^2 - z'^2) \Delta m + \omega'^2 (\cos^2 \theta - \sin^2 \theta) \Sigma y' z' \Delta m.$$

But for the principal axes  $\Sigma y' z' \Delta m = 0$ ,

$$\text{also } \Sigma (y'^2 - z'^2) \Delta m = \Sigma \{(x'^2 + y'^2) - (x'^2 + z'^2)\} \Delta m = C - B;$$

$$\text{and } q = \omega' \sin \theta, \quad r = \omega' \cos \theta;$$

$$\text{and therefore } \omega'^2 \Sigma yz \Delta m = (C - B) qr.$$

Hence the moment of the effective forces in reference to the axis  $(x')$  is

$$= A \frac{dp}{dt} + (C - B) qr.$$

As the impressed and effective forces must equilibrate, therefore

$$A \frac{dp}{dt} + (C - B) qr - N = 0.$$

In the same manner the other two equations of the motion of rotation about the axes  $(y')$ ,  $(z')$  are found to be

$$B \frac{dq}{dt} + (A - C) pr - M = 0,$$

$$C \frac{dr}{dt} + (B - A) qr - L = 0.$$

In considering the terms of any of these equations, as for instance the first, it is evident that  $N$  being the only impressed force, the other two terms must express effective forces, and the first term evidently referring to the moving force about the axis  $(x')$ , the second term must represent the

effect of centrifugal force. This has been remarked by a writer on this subject, (*Westminster Review*, vol. II. p. 321), but he has given no proof of it independently of the common demonstration. This is the only troublesome term to investigate, and to establish its origin on a new principle the theorem referred to in the first paragraph of this paper has here been employed.

III.—ON A METHOD OF FINDING THE GREATEST COMMON  
MEASURE OF TWO POLYNOMIALS.

By A. Q. G. CRAUFURD, M.A. Jesus College.

To find the greatest common measure of two rational and entire functions of  $x$ ,  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ .

Assume  $y$  to be one of the roots of the greatest common measure, then  $y$  must also be a root of each of the proposed polynomials  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ , consequently it satisfies the equations

$$X_1 = 0, \quad X_2 = 0 \dots \dots \dots \quad (1).$$

The converse of this proposition is also true; viz. "whatever satisfies both these equations is a root of the greatest common measure."

To prove this, let the greatest common measure be denoted by  $M$ , and let

$$X_1 = M.Q_1, \quad X_2 = M.Q_2.$$

Then whatever is a root of  $X_1$ , must be a root of  $M$  or of  $Q_1$ ; and whatever is a root of  $X_2$  must be a root of  $M$  or of  $Q_2$ ; therefore every root of  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  is a root of  $M$  or of  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$ . But  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  have no common measure, and therefore no common root; therefore, every root of  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  is a root of  $M$ . It is proved, then, that the roots common to  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are roots, and the *only* roots, of  $M$ .

The problem is thus reduced to that of determining an equation which shall have all the roots which are *common* to the two equations (1), and no other roots. This is easily done by the method employed in my paper on "Elimination," in the XIIth number of this *Journal*. I will first explain the process in general terms, and then apply it to an example; and in treating the general case I shall first suppose  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  to be both of the  $n^{\text{th}}$  degree.

If equations (1) have all their roots in common, either of them is the equation sought, and what is required is already done. If these equations do not coincide  $y$  must have less than  $n$  values.

## 10 Greatest Common Measure of Two Polynomials.

Take  $A_n, A_{n-1}, A_{n-2}, \dots, A_0$  to represent the coefficients of the powers of  $x$  in  $X_1$ , and let  $B_n, B_{n-1}, B_{n-2}, \dots, B_0$ , be the corresponding coefficients in  $X_2$ . Let  $p$  and  $q$  be two multipliers, such that

$$pA_+ - qB_+ = 0.$$

Then the equation  $pX_1 - qX_2 = 0$

is of the  $(n - 1)^{\text{th}}$  degree. Let  $r$  and  $s$  be such multipliers that  $rA - sB = 0$ ;

$$rA_0 - sB_0 = 0;$$

then the equation  $rX_1 - sX_2 = 0$

is of the  $n^{\text{th}}$  degree, but it is *deprived of its last term*.

It is evident that whatever satisfies equations (1) must also satisfy these. It is likewise true that whatever satisfies these must also satisfy equations (1); (except in a particular case which will be specially noticed presently). For, if we multiply the first of the two derived equations by  $s$  and the second by  $q$ , and subtract, we have

$$(ps - rq) \ X_1 = 0.$$

Again, if we multiply the first of the two derived equations by  $r$  and the second by  $p$ , and subtract, we have

$$(ps - rq) \ X_3 = 0.$$

Therefore (if  $ps - rq$  is not equal to zero), the two derived equations are convertible with the two proposed. The second of the two derived equations has zero for a root, but the other has not, therefore this root is not common to the two derived nor to the two proposed ; we may therefore reject it, and the two derived equations will still contain all the roots *common* to the two proposed, and they will both be of the  $(n - 1)^{\text{th}}$  degree.

Let  $pX_1 - qX_2$  and  $\frac{rX_1 - sX_2}{x}$  be denoted by  $X'_1$  and  $X'_2$ .

are equations of the  $(n - 1)^{\text{th}}$  degree which are convertible with the proposed.

If these equations have all their roots in common, either of them is the equation sought. If not  $y$  can not have  $(n - 1)$  values. Form, therefore, from equations (2), two equations of the  $(n - 2)^{\text{th}}$  degree, in the same manner that equations (2) were formed from equations (1). If these coincide, and have all their roots in common, either of them is the equation sought. If not,  $y$  can not have  $(n - 2)$  values, and the process of reduction must be continued, and if this process leads at

last to a pair of equations which *do coincide*, and *have* all their roots in common, either of this pair of equations is the equation sought.

Let  $X^p = 0$  be this equation. Then, since  $X^p$  is a function which has all the roots of the greatest common measure, and no others, it must be that common measure.

If the process of reduction never leads to a pair of equations which have their roots in common, the two proposed functions will, *by that process*, have been proved to have no common measure.

If the two proposed functions are not of the same degree, suppose  $X_1$  to be of the  $m^{\text{th}}$  and  $X_2$  of the  $n^{\text{th}}$  degree,  $m$  being  $> n$ . Assume, as before,  $A_m, A_{m-1}, A_{m-2}, \dots, A_0$  to be the coefficients of the powers of  $x$  in  $X_1$  and  $B_n, B_{n-1}, B_{n-2}, \dots, B_0$  the corresponding coefficients in  $X_2$ . Let  $p$  and  $q$  be such multipliers that  $pA_0 - qB_0 = 0$ .

$$pA_m - qB_n = 0.$$

Then the equation  $pX_1 - qx^{m-n}X_2 = 0$

is of the  $(n - 1)$ <sup>th</sup> degree, and this equation, together with the second of the proposed (viz.  $X_2 = 0$ ), are convertible with the two proposed.

It remains to notice the particular case in which the multipliers  $p, s, q$ , and  $r$ , are such that  $ps - rq = 0$ .

In this case we must proceed in the reduction by a different method. First form, as before, the equation

$$pX_1 - qX_2 = 0, \quad \text{or} \quad X_1' = 0.$$

This, together with  $X_1 = 0$ , is evidently convertible with the proposed. Let  $B'_{n-1}, B'_{n-2}, \dots$  denote the coefficients of  $X_1'$ . And let  $p'$  and  $q'$  be such that  $p'A_n - q'B'_{n-1} = 0$ . Then the equation  $p'X_1 - q'xX_1' = 0$  is of the  $(n-1)^{\text{th}}$  degree; and the two equations  $X_1' = 0, \quad pX_1 - q'xX_1' = 0$

are evidently convertible with

$$X_1 = 0, \text{ and } X_1' = 0;$$

therefore they are convertible with the proposed.

This latter method of reduction may always be employed, if preferred, instead of that previously given.

**Ex.** Let the functions be

$$2x^4 - 12x^3 + 19x^2 - 6x + 9,$$

and

$$8x^3 - 36x^2 + 38x - 6.$$

12      *Greatest Common Measure of Two Polynomials.*

Write       $2x^4 - 12x^3 + 19x^2 - 6x + 9 = 0$       ..... (1).  
 and       $4x^3 - 18x^2 + 19x - 3 = 0$       ..... (1).

Multiply the first of these equations by 2, and the second by  $x$ , and subtract, and you have

$$- 6x^3 + 19x^2 - 9x + 18 = 0.$$

Consequently the two equations of the third degree are

and       $6x^3 - 19x^2 + 9x - 18 = 0$       ..... (2).  
 and       $4x^3 - 18x^2 + 19x - 3 = 0$       ..... (2).

Multiply the first of these by 2, and the second by 3, and subtract: you obtain

$$16x^3 - 39x - 27 = 0.$$

Multiply the first of equations (2) by 1, and the second by 6, subtract and divide by  $x$ , you obtain

$$18x^2 - 89x + 105 = 0.$$

So that the two equations of the second degree are

and       $16x^2 - 39x - 27 = 0$       ..... (3).  
 and       $18x^2 - 89x + 105 = 0$       ..... (2).

Multiply the first of these by 9, and the second by 8, and subtract: you obtain       $361x - 1083 = 0$ ,  
 or       $x - 3 = 0$ .

Multiply the first of equations (3) by 35, and the second by 9, add, and divide by  $x$ : you obtain

$$722x - 2166 = 0,$$

$$\text{or } x - 3 = 0.$$

The two equations of the first degree *coincide*, and  $x - 3$  is the greatest common measure of the proposed functions.

The process of reduction might have been performed in the following manner, which, though differing slightly in the steps, leads of course to the same result.

Take the two equations of the second degree, viz.

and       $6x^3 - 19x^2 + 9x - 18 = 0$       ..... (2).  
 and       $4x^3 - 18x^2 + 19x - 3 = 0$       ..... (1).

Form, as before, the equation

$$16x^2 - 39x - 27 = 0.$$

Multiply the first of equations (2) by 8, and the equation just formed by  $3x$ , and subtract: you obtain

$$35x^2 - 153x + 144 = 0.$$

So that the two equations of the second degree are

$$16x^2 - 39x - 27 = 0 \quad \dots \dots \dots (3).$$

and

$$35x^2 - 153x + 144 = 0$$

Multiply the first of these by 35, and the second by 16, and subtract. The result is

$$1083x - 3249 = 0,$$

$$\text{or } x - 3 = 0.$$

Multiply this by  $16x$ , and subtract from the first of equations

(3): you obtain

$$9x - 27 = 0,$$

$$\text{or } x - 3 = 0.$$

As before, we find that the two equations of the first degree coincide, and  $x - 3$  is the greatest common measure. The latter method is rather shorter than the former.

---

IV.—ON THE SYMMETRICAL INVESTIGATION OF POINTS OF INFLECTION.

By W. WALTON, M.A. Trinity College.

THE condition ordinarily adopted for the discovery of a point of inflection is, that  $\frac{d^2y}{dx^2}$  shall change sign as  $x$  passes through the value which it has at the point; whence it follows that at the point itself  $\frac{d^2y}{dx^2} = 0$ , or  $= \infty$ . This method of investigation is sufficiently convenient when we have given  $y$  explicitly in a rational function of  $x$ ; when, however,  $x$  and  $y$  are involved implicitly it frequently gives rise to painful, and at all times to inelegant, operations. The method which we lay before the readers of the *Journal* has the advantage of symmetry, and is, in many cases, especially when  $x$  and  $y$  are symmetrically involved in the equation to the curve, free from vexatious processes. The intelligence of the Algebraist will enable him to see in particular cases which method ought to be chosen. The symmetrical method is often very useful when the branches of a multiple point have inflection at the point.

Let the equation to an algebraical curve, cleared of radicals and negative indices, be represented by

$$F = 0 \dots \dots \dots (1),$$

where  $F$  is a rational function of  $x$  and  $y$ .

Let  $ds$  denote an element of the arc of the curve at the point  $x, y$ , and let  $s$  be taken as the independent variable.

Let

$$U = \frac{dF}{dx}, \quad V = \frac{dF}{dy},$$

$$u = \frac{d^2F}{dx^2}, \quad w = \frac{d^2F}{dxdy}, \quad v = \frac{d^2F}{dy^2},$$

$$= \frac{dx}{ds}, \quad m = \frac{dy}{ds}, \quad l' = \frac{dl}{ds}, \quad m' = \frac{dm}{ds}.$$

Then, differentiating (1), we have

differentiating (2),

$$l'u + 2lmw + m^2v + l'U + m'V = 0, \dots, (3)$$

Again, it is clear that  $l^2 + m^2 = 1$ , and therefore

$$U + mm' = 0 \quad \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \quad (4)$$

From (2) and (4) we get

$$l'V = m'U;$$

hence, multiplying (3) by  $U$ , we obtain

$$U(l^2u + 2lmw + m^2v) + l' (U^2 + V^2) = 0,$$

or, by virtue of (2),

$$\frac{l^2 U}{V^2} (u V^2 - 2 U V w + U^2 v) + l' (U^2 + V^2) = 0 \dots (5)$$

In a similar way we may shew that

$$\frac{m^2 V}{U^2} (u V^2 - 2 U V w + U^2 v) + m' (U^2 + V^2) = 0 \dots (6)$$

Again, by the relation  $l^2 + m^2 = 1$  and the equation (2), we get

$$\frac{l^2}{V^2} = \frac{1}{U^2 - V^2} = \frac{m^3}{U^2} ;$$

hence (5) and (6) give us

$$U(yV^2 - 2UVw + U^2v) + l(U^2 + V^2)^2 = 0, \dots \quad (7)$$

$$V(uV^2 - 2UVw + U^2v) + m'(U^2 + V^2)^2 = 0, \dots \quad (8)$$

Now at a point of inflection it is evident that  $l$  and  $m$  must be the one a maximum and the other a minimum: hence, as we pass in the neighbourhood of the point along the curve from one side of the point to the other, we know by the theory of maxima and minima that  $l'$  and  $m'$  must each of them change sign. It is evident, then, from (7) and (8), that

$$U(uV^2 - 2UVw + U^2v)$$

and

$$V(uV^2 - 2UVw + U^2v)$$

must both change sign.

Suppose first that neither  $U$  nor  $V$  changes sign as we pass through the point; then the sufficient and necessary condition for a change of sign in the value of  $l'$  and  $m'$ , is that

$$uV^2 - 2UVw + U^2v \dots \dots \dots (9)$$

change sign as we pass through the point; this condition evidently involves the fact, that at the point itself

$$uV^2 - 2UVw + U^2v = 0 \dots \dots \dots (10).$$

Next suppose that  $U$  changes sign; then it is evident that the expression (9) must not change sign, for otherwise  $l'$ , as will be evident from the formula (7), could not change sign. But from (8) we see that for a change of sign in the value of  $m'$ , one and one only of the quantities  $V$  and (9) must change sign; hence  $V$  only must change sign. Thus we see that if either of the quantities  $U$  and  $V$  change sign, both must do so, and that (9) must not change sign. If  $U$  and  $V$  both change sign it is clear that at the point itself

$$U = 0, \quad V = 0,$$

which are the conditions for multiplicity of branches at the point.

The general rule, therefore, for finding points of inflection may be thus enunciated. First ascertain the values of  $x$  and  $y$  which will satisfy simultaneously the equations

$$F = 0, \quad uV^2 - 2UVw + vU^2 = 0,$$

and reject all the pairs of values thus obtained which do not, as we pass through the corresponding points, correspond to a change of sign in the expression

$$uV^2 - 2UVw + vU^2,$$

or which do correspond to a change of sign in either  $U$  or  $V$ ; the pairs of values of  $x$  and  $y$ , which are retained, correspond to points of inflection.

Secondly, ascertain those pairs of values which satisfy simultaneously  $F = 0, \quad U = 0, \quad V = 0,$

and reject all of these pairs which do not correspond to a change of sign in both  $U$  and  $V$  as we pass through the corresponding points along one or other of the branches, or which do correspond to a change of sign in the expression

$$uV^2 - 2UVw + vU^2.$$

In the preceding investigation we have supposed  $F$  to be a rational function of  $x$  and  $y$ . Should this not be the case it

will be evident, from what has been said, that in addition to the values of  $x$  and  $y$ , which may be obtained by the rule which we have enunciated, we must likewise take those which will render in the first case,

$$F = 0, \quad uV^2 - 2UVw + vU^2 = \infty;$$

and in the second case,

$$F = 0, \quad U = \infty, \quad V = \infty;$$

the conditions depending on change of sign being the same as before.

**Ex. 1.** Let the curve be

$$F = ax^3 + by^3 - c^4 = 0.$$

Then

$$U = 3ax^2, \quad V = 3by^2,$$

$$u = 6ax, \quad w = 0, \quad v = 6by.$$

Hence, from the formula (10) there is, if we cast out constant factors,

$$xy(ax^3 + by^3) = 0;$$

or, by the equation to the curve,

$$xy = 0.$$

Thus  $x = 0$ , or  $y = 0$ , and in both cases neither  $U$  nor  $V$  changes sign, while the formula (9) does change sign. Hence we have two points of inflection

$$x = 0, \quad y = \frac{c^{\frac{4}{3}}}{b^{\frac{1}{3}}},$$

and

$$x = \frac{c^{\frac{4}{3}}}{a^{\frac{1}{3}}}, \quad y = 0.$$

**Ex. 2.** Take the curve

$$F = (x^2 + y^2)^3 - a^3x^2 + b^3y^2,$$

and suppose that we wish to find whether there be a point of inflection at the origin. Then

$$U = 2x(2x^2 + 2y^2 - a^2),$$

$$V = 2y(2x^2 + 2y^2 + b^2),$$

$$u = 12x^2 + 4y^2 - 2a^2,$$

$$v = 4x^2 + 12y^2 + 2b^2,$$

$$w = 8xy.$$

From these results it is evident that  $U$  and  $V$  both change sign if we change  $x$  and  $y$  each of them from  $\pm 0$  to  $\mp 0$ .

Moreover it is clear that neither  $uV^2$ ,  $vU^2$ , nor  $2UVw$ , experience any change of sign when we put  $\pm x$ ,  $\pm y$  for  $\mp x$ ,  $\mp y$  respectively. Hence the expression (9) does not change sign. If we had kept  $y$  positive or negative throughout while we changed  $x$  from  $\pm 0$  to  $\mp 0$ , the expression (9) would have changed sign, and flexure would not have taken place. Hence we see that the branch which passes through the origin from below to above the axis of  $x$ , or that which passes from above to below, will have an inflection at the origin. The discussion of this example by the unsymmetrical method would have been much more embarrassing.

Ex. 3. Let the curve be

$$F = \left(\frac{x}{a}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} + \left(\frac{y}{b}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} - 1 = 0.$$

Then  $U = \frac{1}{3a} \left(\frac{x}{a}\right)^{-\frac{2}{3}}$ ,  $V = \frac{1}{3b} \left(\frac{y}{b}\right)^{-\frac{2}{3}}$ ,

$$u = -\frac{2}{9a^2} \left(\frac{x}{a}\right)^{-\frac{4}{3}}, \quad w = 0, \quad v = -\frac{2}{9b^2} \left(\frac{y}{b}\right)^{-\frac{4}{3}}.$$

Hence the expression

$$uV^2 - 2UVw + vU^2$$

will vary as  $\left(\frac{x}{a}\right)^{-\frac{4}{3}} \left(\frac{y}{b}\right)^{-\frac{4}{3}} + \left(\frac{y}{b}\right)^{-\frac{4}{3}} \left(\frac{x}{a}\right)^{-\frac{4}{3}}$   
 $= \left(\frac{x}{a}\right)^{-\frac{4}{3}} \left(\frac{y}{b}\right)^{-\frac{4}{3}} \left\{ \left(\frac{x}{a}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} + \left(\frac{y}{b}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \right\}$   
 $= \left(\frac{x}{a}\right)^{-\frac{4}{3}} \left(\frac{y}{b}\right)^{-\frac{4}{3}}.$

Putting this expression  $= \infty$ , we get  $x = 0$ , or  $y = 0$ ; and therefore by the equation to the curve  $y = b$ ,  $x = a$ , respectively. It is evident then that as  $x$  passes through 0,  $U$  and  $V$  do not change sign while the expression (9) does: and similarly for  $y$ ; hence there are two points of inflection, viz.  $x = 0$ ,  $y = b$ , and  $x = a$ ,  $y = 0$ .

## V.—DEMONSTRATION OF PASCAL'S THEOREM.

By A. CAYLEY, B.A. Fellow of Trinity College.

**LEMMA 1.** Let  $U = Ax + By + Cz = 0$  be the equation to a plane passing through a given point taken for the origin, and consider the planes

$$U_1 = 0, \quad U_2 = 0, \quad U_3 = 0, \quad U_4 = 0, \quad U_5 = 0, \quad U_6 = 0.$$

The condition which expresses that the intersections of the planes (1) and (2), (3) and (4), (5) and (6) lie in the same plane, may be written down under the form

$$\begin{vmatrix} A_1 & A_2 & A_3 & A_4 & \dots & \\ B_1 & B_2 & B_3 & B_4 & \dots & \\ C_1 & C_2 & C_3 & C_4 & \dots & \\ \dots & A_3 & A_4 & A_5 & A_6 & \\ \dots & B_3 & B_4 & B_5 & B_6 & \\ \dots & C_3 & C_4 & C_5 & C_6 & \end{vmatrix} = 0.$$

**LEMMA 2.** Representing the determinants

$$\begin{vmatrix} x_1 & y_1 & z_1 \\ x_2 & y_2 & z_2 \\ x_3 & y_3 & z_3 \end{vmatrix} \text{ &c.}$$

by the abbreviated notation  $\overline{123}$ , &c. The following equation is identically true :

$$\overline{345} \cdot \overline{126} - \overline{346} \cdot \overline{125} + \overline{356} \cdot \overline{124} - \overline{456} \cdot \overline{123} = 0.$$

This is an immediate consequence of the equations

$$\begin{vmatrix} \dots & x_3 & x_4 & x_5 & x_6 \\ \dots & y_3 & y_4 & y_5 & y_6 \\ \dots & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 & z_6 \\ x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 & x_5 & x_6 \\ y_1 & y_2 & y_3 & y_4 & y_5 & y_6 \\ z_1 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 & z_6 \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} \dots & x_3 & x_4 & x_5 & x_6 \\ \dots & y_3 & y_4 & y_5 & y_6 \\ \dots & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 & z_6 \\ x_1 & x_2 & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ y_1 & y_2 & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ z_1 & z_2 & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{vmatrix} = 0.$$

Consider now the points 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, the co-ordinates of these being respectively  $x_1, y_1, z_1, \dots, x_6, y_6, z_6$ . I represent, for shortness, the equation to the plane passing through the origin, and the points 1, 2, which may be called the plane  $\overline{12}$ , in the form  $x \cdot \overline{12}_x + y \cdot \overline{12}_y + z \cdot \overline{12}_z = 0$ .

Consequently the symbols  $\overline{12}_x, \overline{12}_y, \overline{12}_z$  denote respectively  $y_2 z_2 - y_1 z_1, z_1 x_2 - z_2 x_1, x_1 y_2 - x_2 y_1$ , and similarly for the planes 13, &c. If now the intersections of  $\overline{12}$  and  $\overline{45}$ ,  $\overline{23}$  and  $\overline{56}$ ,

$\overline{34}$  and  $\overline{61}$  lie in the same plane, we must have, by Lemma(1), the equation

$$\begin{vmatrix} 12_x & 45_x & 23_x & 56_x & . & . & . \\ 12_y & 45_y & 23_y & 56_y & . & . & . \\ 12_z & 45_z & 23_z & 56_z & . & . & . \\ . & . & 23_x & 56_x & 34_x & 61_x & . \\ . & . & 23_y & 56_y & 34_y & 61_y & . \\ . & . & 23_z & 56_z & 34_z & 61_z & . \end{vmatrix} = 0.$$

Multiplying the two sides of this equation by the two sides respectively of the equation

$$\begin{vmatrix} x_6 & x_1 & x_2 & . & . & . \\ y_6 & y_1 & y_2 & . & . & . \\ z_6 & z_1 & z_2 & . & . & . \\ . & . & . & x_3 & x_4 & x_5 \\ . & . & . & y_3 & y_4 & y_5 \\ . & . & . & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 \end{vmatrix} = \overline{612} \cdot \overline{345}.$$

And observing the equations

$$x_6 \overline{12}_x + y_6 \overline{12}_y + z_6 \overline{12}_z = \overline{612}, \quad \overline{112} = 0, \text{ &c.}$$

This becomes

$$\begin{vmatrix} 612 & . & . & . & . & . & . \\ 645 & 145 & 245 & . & . & . & . \\ 623 & 123 & . & . & 423 & 523 & . \\ . & 156 & 256 & 356 & 456 & . & . \\ . & . & . & 361 & 461 & 561 & . \end{vmatrix} = 0.$$

Reducible to

$$\begin{vmatrix} \overline{612} & \overline{534} & | & \overline{145} & \overline{245} & . & . \\ & & | & 123 & . & . & 423 \\ & & | & 156 & 256 & 356 & 456 \\ & & | & . & . & 361 & 461 \end{vmatrix} = 0.$$

Or, omitting the factor  $\overline{612} \overline{534}$  and expanding,

$$145 \cdot 256 \cdot 423 \cdot 361 + 245 \cdot 123 \cdot 456 \cdot 361 - 245 \cdot 123 \cdot 356 \cdot 461 - 245 \cdot 156 \cdot 423 \cdot 361 = 0.$$

Considering for instance  $x_6, y_6, z_6$  as variable, this equation expresses evidently that the point (6) lies in a cone of the second order having the origin for its vertex, and the equation is evidently satisfied by writing  $x_6, y_6, z_6 = x_1, y_1, z_1$  or  $x_3, y_3, z_3$  or  $x_4, y_4, z_4$  or  $x_5, y_5, z_5$ , or the cone passes through the

points 1, 3, 4, 5. For  $x_6, y_6, z_6 = \underline{x_2}, \underline{y_2}, \underline{z_2}$ , the equation becomes, reducing and dividing by 245 123,

$$\overline{452} \cdot \overline{321} - \overline{352} \cdot \overline{421} + \overline{152} \cdot \overline{423} = 0,$$

which is deducible from Lemma (2), by writing  $x_6, y_6, z_6 = \underline{x_2}, \underline{y_2}, \underline{z_2}$ , and is therefore identically true. Hence the cone passes through the point (2), and therefore the points 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 lie in the same cone of the second order, which is Pascal's Theorem. I have demonstrated it in the cone, for the sake of symmetry; but by writing throughout unity instead of  $z$ , the above applies directly to the case of the theorem in the plane.

The demonstration of Chasles' form of Pascal's Theorem (viz. that the anharmonic relation of the planes  $\overline{61}, \overline{62}, \overline{63}, \overline{64}$  is the same with that of  $\overline{51}, \overline{52}, \overline{53}, \overline{54}$ ), is very much simpler; but as it would require some preparatory information with reference to the analytical definition of the similarity of anharmonic relation, I must defer it to another opportunity.

---

#### VI.—ON THE TRANSFORMATION OF MULTIPLE INTEGRALS.

By GEORGE BOOLE.

THE result of the elimination of the variables from the equations

$$\begin{aligned} a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + \dots + a_nx_n &= 0 \\ b_1x_1 + b_2x_2 + \dots + b_nx_n &= 0 \\ \dots & \\ r_1x_1 + r_2x_2 + \dots + r_nx_n &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad \dots \dots \dots \quad (1)$$

is an equation of which the second member is 0, and of which the first member is formed from the coefficient of  $x_1x_2 \dots x_n$  in the product of the given equations, by assuming a particular term, as  $a_1b_2 \dots r_n$ , positive, and applying to every other term a change of sign, for every binary permutation of the suffixes which it may exhibit, when compared with the proposed term,  $a_1b_2 \dots r_n$ .

By a binary permutation is here meant a permutation affecting only the relative position of two quantities, as 123, 132; or 123, 213.

The truth of the above theorem is shewn by the following considerations. The elimination of  $x_1$  from the first and second equation of the system (1) introduces terms of the form  $a_1b_2 - a_2b_1, a_1b_3 - a_3b_1, \text{ &c.}$ , in which the law of binary permutation is apparent, and as we may begin the process of elimination with any variable and with any pair of equations,

the law is universal. From the same instance it is evident that no proposed suffix can occur twice in a given term, which condition is also characteristic of the coefficient of  $x_1 x_2 \dots x_n$  in the product of the equations of the system, whence the theorem is manifest.

As an example let  $n = 4$ , and assuming the term  $a_1 b_2 c_3 d_4$  positive, let it be required to find the signs of the terms  $a_1 b_3 c_2 d_4$ ,  $a_4 b_2 c_1 d_3$ ,  $a_3 b_4 c_2 d_1$ . We proceed thus:

1324	4213	3421
1234	1243	1423
	1234	1243
		1234

Here the term  $a_1 b_3 c_2 d_4$  is reduced to  $a_1 b_2 c_3 d_4$  by a single binary permutation of the suffixes, viz. 32 to 23, wherefore the sign is negative; the term  $a_4 b_2 c_1 d_3$  undergoing two permutations is positive, and the term  $a_3 b_4 c_2 d_1$  undergoing three is negative.

The above theorem may be conveniently applied when we wish to ascertain the result of elimination from a system of equations, to which, from their number, it might be difficult to apply a general form. If, for example, we had the system

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} a_1 x_1 = 0 \\ b_1 x_1 + b_2 x_2 = 0 \\ c_1 x_1 + c_2 x_2 + c_3 x_3 = 0 \\ \dots \dots \dots \\ r_1 x_1 + r_2 x_2 + \dots + r_n x_n = 0 \end{array} \right\} \dots \dots \dots \quad (2),$$

our theorem would at once give

$$a_1 b_2 c_3 \dots r_n = 0,$$

a result to which we shall have occasion to refer.

In what follows we shall employ the term "final derivative" to the first member of the equation expressing the final result of elimination from a proposed system of equations.

Now let it be required to transform the multiple integral  $\iint \dots dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_n$  into one depending on the variables  $u_1 u_2 \dots u_n$ , by virtue of the equations  $x_1 = U_1$ ,  $x_2 = U_2$ ,  $x_n = U_n$ . Differentiating, we have

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} dx_1 = \frac{dU_1}{du_1} du_1 + \frac{dU_1}{du_2} du_2 + \dots + \frac{dU_1}{du_n} du_n \\ dx_2 = \frac{dU_2}{du_1} du_1 + \frac{dU_2}{du_2} du_2 + \dots + \frac{dU_2}{du_n} du_n \\ \dots \dots \dots \\ dx_n = \frac{dU_n}{du_1} du_1 + \frac{dU_n}{du_2} du_2 + \dots + \frac{dU_n}{du_n} du_n \end{array} \right\} \dots \dots \dots \quad (3).$$

Now when  $x_1$  varies,  $x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n$  are constant, and  $dx_2, \dots, dx_n$  vanish. Hence, if for simplicity we write  $\frac{dU_1}{du_1} = a_1, \frac{dU_2}{du_1} = b_1, \dots$ , we have, on the above condition,

whence a relation may be found connecting  $x_i$  and  $u_i$ . Let  $v_i = 1$ , then the above system of equations may be thus written:  $(a_i d_{1i} - d_{2i}) x_1 + (a_i d_{1i} - a_i d_{2i}) u_i = 0$

In the system (5) let  $dx_1 = 0$ , then the result of elimination is evidently  $E dy = 0$  (7)

where  $E_1$  is the final derivative of the second members of (4) equated to 0, whence  $M = E_1$ . Again in (5) let  $du_1 = 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (-dx) v_1 + a_2 du_2 + \dots + a_n du_n &= 0, \\ b_2 du_2 + \dots + b_n du_n &= 0, \\ \dots & \\ r_2 du_2 + \dots + r_n du_n &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

whence by the theorem, as the result of elimination,

$$-E_2 dx = 0,$$

where  $E_2$  is the final derivative of the system

$$\begin{aligned} b_2 du_2 + b_3 du_3 + \dots + b_n du_n &= 0, \\ c_2 du_2 + c_3 du_3 + \dots + c_n du_n &= 0, \\ \dots & \\ r_2 du_2 + r_3 du_3 + \dots + r_n du_n &= 0; \end{aligned}$$

wherefore  $L = -E$ , and

$$-E_2dx_1 + E_1du_1 = 0,$$

Now, resuming (3), let  $x_2$  vary, then  $dx_1 dx_3 \dots dx_n$  vanish, wherefore by (8),  $du_1 = 0$ , and we have

$$\left. \begin{aligned} dx_2 &= b_2 du_2 + b_3 du_3 + \dots + b_n du_n \\ 0 &= c_2 du_2 + c_3 du_3 + \dots + c_n du_n \\ 0 &= r_2 du_2 + r_3 du_3 + \dots + r_n du_n \end{aligned} \right\} \dots \dots \dots (9).$$

Proceeding with this system of equations as with (4), we get

where  $E_3$  is the final derivative of the system

wherein  $E_n = r_n$ . Now multiply (8), (10), . . . (11) together, and there results

The sign of  $E_1$  is ambiguous, for it will depend on the order in which  $du_1, du_2, \dots du_n$  are eliminated,—a thing in its nature indifferent.

Now to extend this theorem to the case in which the two sets of variables are indiscriminately mixed, let  $V_1 = 0$ ,  $V_2 = 0$ ,  $V_n = 0$  be the proposed equations. Differentiating we have

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \frac{dV_1}{dx_1} dx_1 + \dots + \frac{dV_1}{dx_n} dx_n &= - \frac{dV_1}{du_1} du_1 - \dots - \frac{dV_1}{du_n} du_n \\ \frac{dV_n}{dx_1} dx_1 + \dots + \frac{dV_n}{dx_n} dx_n &= - \frac{dV_n}{du_1} du_1 - \dots - \frac{dV_n}{du_n} du_n \end{aligned} \right\}$$

Let  $E$  be the final derivative of the first members equated to 0,  $E'$  that of the second members equated to 0, then  $\frac{E'}{E} = E_1$ , by the theory of linear transformations (*Journal*, vol. III, p. 109), whence

$$dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_n = \frac{E'}{E} du_1 du_2 \dots du_n \dots \dots \dots (13).$$

On account of the ambiguous signs of  $E$  and  $E'$ , it is not even necessary that the terms involving  $du_1 du_2 \dots du_n$  should be transposed to the second members. The general result of our investigations may therefore be expressed in the following Rule.

To transform any multiple integral,  $\iint \dots \int X dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_n$ , into one depending on  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n$ , having given the equations connecting the two sets of variables.

**RULE.** Differentiate the given equations relatively to  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ , and eliminating  $dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_n$ , let  $E$  be the final derivative. Proceed in the same manner with  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n$ , and let  $E'$  be the corresponding final derivative, then

$$dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_n = \frac{E'}{E} du_1 du_2 \dots du_n \dots \dots \dots (14)$$

**Ex. 1.** Let the equations of transformation be

$$x_1 = r \cos \theta_1,$$

$$x_1 = r \sin \theta_1 \cos \theta_2,$$

$$x_3 = r \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 \cos \theta_3,$$

$$x_{n1} = r \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 \dots \sin \theta_{n-2} \cos \theta_{n-1},$$

$$x_n = r \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 \dots \sin \theta_{n-1}.$$

Squaring and adding, we have

$$x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_n^2 = r^2,$$

which we shall employ in place of the last equation of the system. Now, differentiating with respect to  $x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n$ , we get

$$dx_1 = 0,$$

$$dx_2 = 0,$$

$$2x \, dx_{\perp\perp} + 2x \, dx_{\parallel\parallel} = 0;$$

whence, by (2),  $E = 2x_n$ . Again, differentiating with respect to  $r, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_n$ , we have  $2xdr = 0$ .

$$\cos \theta \, dr - r \sin \theta \, d\theta = 0,$$

$$z = r \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 \sin \theta_3 d\theta_4 = 0$$

whence  $E' = (-)^{n-1} 2r^n (\sin \theta_1)^{n-1} (\sin \theta_2)^{n-2} \dots \sin \theta_{n-1}$ , and

$$dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_n = \pm \frac{x^n (\sin \theta_1)^{n-1} (\sin \theta_2)^{n-2} \dots \sin \theta_{n-1}}{x_n} dr d\theta_1 \dots d\theta_{n-1}$$

$$= \pm r^{n-1} (\sin \theta_1)^{n-2} (\sin \theta_2)^{n-3} \dots \sin \theta_{n-2} d\theta_1 d\theta_2 \dots d\theta_{n-1}.$$

If  $n = 3$ , the above is the transformation from rectangular to polar co-ordinates.

**Ex. 2.** Let the equations of transformation be

$$x_1 = 1 - u_1,$$

$$x_2 = u_1(1 - u_2),$$

$$x_3 = u_1 u_3 (1 - u_3),$$

$$x_n = u_1 u_2 \dots u_{n-1} (1 - u_n).$$

Here  $E = 0$ , and differentiating with respect to  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} -du_1 &= 0, \\ (1-u_2)du_1 - u_1du_2 &= 0, \\ \dots \dots - u_1u_2du_3 &= 0, \\ \dots \dots - u_1u_2\dots u_{n-1}du_n &= 0; \end{aligned}$$

whence  $E' = (-)^n u_1^{n-1} u_2^{n-2} \dots u_{n-1}$ , wherefore

$$dx_1dx_2\dots dx_n = \pm u_1^{n-1}u_2^{n-2}\dots u_{n-1} du_1du_2\dots du_n \dots (15).$$

The above transformation leads to a very elegant proof of M. Liouville's theorem expressing the value of the definite multiple integral

$$\iint \dots dx_1dx_2\dots dx_n x_1^{\alpha-1} x_2^{\beta-1} \dots x_n^{\gamma-1} f(x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n) \dots (16),$$

the limits of the variables being defined by the inequality

$$x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n \leq 1.$$

We find  $x_1 + x_2 = 1 - u_1u_2$ ,  $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 1 - u_1u_2u_3$ ; and, by induction,  $x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n = 1 - u_1u_2\dots u_n$ . This, with the preceding, reduces the integral to the form

$$\iint \dots du_1du_2\dots u_1^{\beta+\gamma}\dots u_2^{\gamma+\delta}\dots (1-u_1)^{\alpha-1}(1-u_2)^{\beta-1}\dots f(1-u_1u_2\dots u_n) \dots (17),$$

the limits of each variable being 0 and 1.

Now, in general,  $f(t) = t^{\bar{\theta}} f(\varepsilon^\theta)$ , if  $\theta = 0$ . For let  $t = \varepsilon^\theta$ , then  $f(t) = f(\varepsilon^{\theta+\theta}) = \varepsilon^{\frac{d}{d\theta}} f(\varepsilon^\theta)$ , by Taylor's theorem,  $= t^{\frac{d}{d\theta}} f(\varepsilon^\theta)$ .

Hence  $f(1 - u_1u_2\dots u_n) = (u_1u_2\dots u_n)^{\frac{d}{d\theta}} f(1 - \varepsilon^\theta)$ . Substituting this expression in (17), we get

$$\iint \dots du_1du_2\dots u_1^{\frac{d}{d\theta}+\beta+\gamma}\dots u_2^{\frac{d}{d\theta}+\gamma+\delta}\dots (1-u_1)^{\alpha-1}(1-u_2)^{\beta-1}\dots f(1-\varepsilon^\theta) \dots (18).$$

But, by the well-known relation connecting the first and the second of the Eulerian integrals,

$$\int_0^1 du_1 u_1^{\frac{d}{d\theta}+\beta+\gamma}\dots (1-u_1)^{\alpha-1} = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{d\theta}+1+\beta+\gamma\dots\right) \Gamma(a)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{d\theta}+1+a+\beta\dots\right)},$$

$$\int_0^1 du_2 u_2^{\frac{d}{d\theta}+\gamma+\delta}\dots (1-u_2)^{\beta-1} = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{d\theta}+1+\gamma\dots\right) \Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{d\theta}+1+\beta\dots\right)};$$

and finally

$$\int_0^1 du_n u_n^{\frac{d}{d\theta}} (1 - u_n)^{\nu-1} = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{d\theta} + 1\right) \Gamma(\nu)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{d\theta} + 1 + \nu\right)}.$$

Substituting these values in (18), we have simply

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\Gamma(a) \Gamma(\beta) \dots \Gamma(\nu) \Gamma\left(\frac{d}{d\theta} + 1\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{d\theta} + 1 + a + \beta + \dots\right)} f(1 - \varepsilon^\theta) \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(a) \Gamma(\beta) \dots \Gamma(\nu)}{\Gamma(a + \beta + \dots + \nu)} \frac{\Gamma(a + \beta + \dots + \nu) \Gamma\left(\frac{d}{d\theta} + 1\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{d\theta} + 1 + a + \beta + \dots\right)} f(1 - \varepsilon^\theta) \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(a) \Gamma(\beta) \dots \Gamma(\nu)}{\Gamma(a + \beta + \dots + \nu)} \int_0^1 dv v^{x+\beta+\dots+\nu-1} (1-v)^{\frac{d}{d\theta}} f(1 - \varepsilon^\theta). \end{aligned}$$

Now  $(1-v)^{\frac{d}{d\theta}} f(1 - \varepsilon^\theta) = f\{1 - (1-v)\} = f(v)$ , whence the expression becomes

$$\frac{\Gamma(a) \Gamma(\beta) \dots \Gamma(\nu)}{\Gamma(a + \beta + \dots + \nu)} \int_0^1 dv v^{x+\beta+\dots+\nu-1} f(v).$$

As a final example I propose to consider the definite multiple integral

$$V = \iint \dots \frac{dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_{n-1}}{x^n} \{ f(a_1 x_1 + a_{n-1} x_{n-1} + a_n x_n) + f(a_1 x_1 + a_{n-1} x_{n-1} - a_n x_n) \},$$

the integrations extending to all real values of  $x_1 x_2 \dots x_{n-1}$ , and to all real and positive values of  $x_n$  which satisfy the conditions

$$x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_{n-1}^2 \leq 1, \quad x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_n^2 = 1.$$

The value of this definite integral is

$$V = \frac{2\pi^{\frac{n-1}{2}}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)} \int_0^\pi d\theta \sin \theta^{n-2} \cos \theta f(A \cos \theta). \dots \quad (20),$$

wherein  $A = (a_1^2 + a_2^2 + \dots + a_n^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , and it is found by integrating with respect to  $a_n$  in the general formula which I have given in a paper entitled, *Remarks on a Theorem of M. Catalan*, (*Journal*, vol. III. p. 281).

Let us assume  $x_1 = \cos \theta_1$ ,

$$x_2 = \sin \theta_1 \cos \theta_2,$$

$$x_{n-1} = \sin \theta_1 \dots \sin \theta_{n-2} \cos \theta_{n-1},$$

$$x_n = \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 \dots \sin \theta_{n-1}.$$

Now while  $x_1, x_2 \dots x_{n-1}$  vary from -1 to 1, the dependent variable  $x_n$  is restricted to positive values. These conditions are satisfied by taking 0 and  $\pi$  for the limits of each of the new variables  $\theta_1, \theta_2 \dots \theta_{n-1}$ . Considering the  $n-1$  first equations of the preceding system, we have  $E = 0$ , and differentiating with respect to  $\theta_1, \theta_2 \dots \theta_{n-1}$ ,

$$-\sin \theta_1 d\theta_1 = 0,$$

$$\cos \theta_1 \cos \theta_2 d\theta_1 - \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 d\theta_2 = 0,$$

$$\dots \dots - \sin \theta_1 \dots \sin \theta_{n-1} d\theta_{n-1} = 0;$$

whence  $E' = \sin \theta_1^{n-1} \sin \theta_2^{n-2} \dots \sin \theta_{n-1}$ , therefore

$$\frac{dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_{n-1}}{x_n} = \frac{\sin \theta_1^{n-1} \dots \sin \theta_{n-1}}{\sin \theta_1 \dots \sin \theta_{n-1}} d\theta_1 d\theta_2 \dots d\theta_{n-1}$$

$$= \sin \theta_1^{n-2} \sin \theta_2^{n-3} \dots \sin \theta_{n-2} d\theta_1 d\theta_2 \dots d\theta_{n-1},$$

and the transformed integral may be thus written:

$$\int_0^\pi \int_0^\pi \dots d\theta_1 \dots d\theta_{n-1} \sin \theta_1^{n-2} \dots \sin \theta_{n-2} f(a_1 \cos \theta_1 \dots$$

$$+ a_{n-1} \sin \theta_1 \dots \sin \theta_{n-2} \cos \theta_{n-1} + a_n \sin \theta_1 \dots \sin \theta_{n-1})$$

$$+ \int_0^\pi \int_0^\pi \dots d\theta_1 \dots d\theta_{n-1} \sin \theta_1^{n-2} \dots \sin \theta_{n-2} f(a_1 \cos \theta_1 \dots$$

$$+ a_{n-1} \sin \theta_1 \dots \sin \theta_{n-2} \cos \theta_{n-1} - a_n \sin \theta_1 \dots \sin \theta_{n-1}). \dots (20)$$

In the second term of the above expression let  $\theta_{n-1} = 2\pi - \theta_{n-1}'$ , then  $d\theta_{n-1} = -d\theta_{n-1}'$ ,  $\cos \theta_{n-1}' = \cos \theta_{n-1}$ ,  $\sin \theta_{n-1}' = -\sin \theta_{n-1}$ , also the limits of  $\theta_{n-1}'$  are  $2\pi$  and  $\pi$ , wherefore the proposed term becomes

$$- \int_0^\pi \int_0^\pi \dots \int_{2\pi}^\pi d\theta_1 \dots d\theta_{n-1}' \sin \theta_1^{n-2} \dots \sin \theta_{n-2}$$

$$f(a_1 \cos \theta_1 \dots + a_{n-1} \sin \theta_1 \dots \cos \theta_{n-1}' + a_n \sin \theta_1 \dots \sin \theta_{n-1}')$$

$$= \int_0^\pi \int_0^\pi \dots \int_{\pi}^{2\pi} d\theta_1 \dots d\theta_{n-1}' \sin \theta_1^{n-2} \dots \sin \theta_{n-2}$$

$$f(a_1 \cos \theta_1 \dots + a_{n-1} \sin \theta_1 \dots \cos \theta_{n-1}' + a_n \sin \theta_1 \dots \sin \theta_{n-1}').$$

Taking away the accent from  $\theta_{n-1}'$  in the above term, and annexing the result to the first term of (20), we have

$$\int_0^\pi \int_0^\pi \dots \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta_1 \dots d\theta_{n-1} \sin \theta_1^{n-2} \dots \sin \theta_{n-2}$$

$$f(a_1 \cos \theta_1 \dots + a_{n-1} \sin \theta_1 \dots \cos \theta_{n-1} + a_n \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_{n-1})$$

$$= \frac{2\pi^{\frac{n-1}{2}}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)} \int_0^\pi d\theta \sin \theta^{n-2} \cos \theta f(A \cos \theta). \dots (21),$$

which is the transformation contemplated.

Some remarkable deductions from the general theorem (20) may properly be noticed here. Let  $a_n = 0$ , then in the result, writing  $n$  for  $n-1$ , and for  $x_{n+1}$  its value  $\sqrt{(1-x_1^2-x_2^2-\dots-x_n^2)}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \iint \dots dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_n & \frac{f(a_1 x_1 + a_2 x_2 \dots a_n x_n)}{\sqrt{(1-x_1^2-\dots-x_n^2)}} \\ & = \frac{\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)} \int_0^\pi d\theta \sin \theta^{n-1} \cos \theta f(A \cos \theta) \dots (22), \end{aligned}$$

the integrations in the first member extending to all real values  $x_1 x_2 \dots x_n$  subject to the condition

$$x_1^2 + x_2^2 \dots x_n^2 \leq 1 \dots \dots \dots (23).$$

Performing on both sides of the equation the operation  $\left(\frac{d}{da_1}\right)^\alpha \left(\frac{d}{da_2}\right)^\beta \dots \left(\frac{d}{da_n}\right)^\nu$ , we arrive at a result which may be thus expressed :

$$\begin{aligned} \iint \dots dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_n x_1^\alpha x_2^\beta \dots x_n^\nu & \frac{F(a_1 x_1 + a_2 x_2 \dots a_n x_n)}{\sqrt{(1-x_1^2-\dots-x_n^2)}} \dots \dots (24) \\ & = \frac{\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)} \left(\frac{d}{da_1}\right)^\alpha \left(\frac{d}{da_2}\right)^\beta \dots \left(\frac{d}{da_n}\right)^\nu \int_0^\pi d\theta \sin \theta^{n-1} \cos \theta f(A \cos \theta), \end{aligned}$$

wherein

$f(t) = \iint \dots F(t) dt^{\alpha+\beta+\dots+\nu}$ ,  $A = (a_1^2 + a_2^2 + \dots + a_n^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , and  $x_1, x_2, \dots x_n$  are subject to the condition (23). The theorem is therefore applicable to every form of  $F$  which admits of being integrated in succession,  $\alpha + \beta + \dots + \nu$  times.

Minster Yard, Lincoln, Aug. 30, 1843.

---

#### VII.—ON THE MOTION OF A PISTON AND OF THE AIR IN A CYLINDER.

By G. G. STOKES, B.A. Fellow of Pembroke College.

WHEN a piston is in motion in a cylinder which also contains air, if the motion of the piston be not very rapid, so that its velocity is inconsiderable compared with the velocity of propagation of sound, the motions of the air may be divided into two classes, the one consisting of those which depend directly on the motion of the piston, the other, of those which are propagated with the velocity of sound, and depend on the initial state of the air, or on a breach of continuity in the

motion of the piston. If we suppose the initial velocity and condensation of the air in each section of the cylinder to be given, and also the initial velocity of the piston, both kinds of motion will in general take place, and the solution of the problem will be complicated. If, however, we restrict ourselves to motions of the first class, the approximate solution, though rather long, will be simple. In this case we must suppose the initial velocity and condensation of the air not to be given arbitrarily, but to be connected, according to a certain law which is yet to be found, with the motion of the piston. The problem as so simplified may perhaps be of some interest, as affording an example of the application of the partial differential equations of fluid motion, without requiring the employment of that kind of analysis which is necessary in most questions of that sort. It is, moreover, that motion of the air which it is proposed to consider, which principally affects the motion of the piston.

Conceive an air-tight piston to move in a cylinder which is closed at one end, and contains a mass of air between the closed end and the piston. For more simplicity, suppose the rest of the cylinder to contain no air. Let a point in the closed end be taken for origin, and let  $x$  be measured along the cylinder. Let  $x_1$  be the abscissa of the piston;  $a$  the initial value of  $x_1$ ;  $u$  the velocity parallel to  $x$  of any particle of air whose abscissa is  $x$ ;  $p$  the pressure,  $\rho$  the density about that particle;  $\Pi$  the initial mean pressure;  $p_1$  the value of  $p$  when  $x = x_1$ ;  $X$  a function of  $x$ , the accelerating force acting on the air; then for the motion of the air we have

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{dp}{dx} &= X - \frac{du}{dt} - u \frac{du}{dx}, \\ \frac{dp}{dt} + \frac{dp}{dx} u &= 0, \end{aligned} \right\} \dots \dots \dots (1),$$

and

$$p = k\rho,$$

neglecting the variation of temperature.

We have also the conditions

$$u = 0 \quad \text{when} \quad x = 0 \quad \dots \dots \dots (2);$$

$$u = \frac{dx_1}{dt} \quad \text{when} \quad x = x_1 \quad \dots \dots \dots (3),$$

for positive values of  $t$ , and

$$\int_0^a p dx = \Pi a \quad \text{when} \quad t = 0 \quad \dots \dots \dots (4).$$

Eliminating  $\rho$  from equations (1), we have

$$\frac{1}{p} \frac{dp}{dx} = \frac{1}{k} \left( X - \frac{du}{dt} - u \frac{du}{dx} \right) \dots \dots \dots (5);$$

$$\frac{dp}{dt} + \frac{dp}{dx} = 0 \dots \dots \dots (6).$$

Now,  $k$  being very large, for a first approximation let  $\frac{1}{k}$  be neglected; then, integrating (5),

$$p = \psi(t).$$

Substituting in (6), and integrating,

$$u = \psi(t) - \frac{\psi'(t)}{\psi(t)} x.$$

The conditions (2) and (3) give

$$\psi(t) = 0; \quad \frac{\psi'(t)}{\psi(t)} = - \frac{1}{x_1} \frac{dx_1}{dt};$$

whence  $\psi(t) = \frac{C}{x_1}.$

Substituting in (4) the value of  $p$  when  $t = 0$ , we have

$$\int_0^a \frac{C}{a} dx = C = \Pi a;$$

whence  $p = \Pi \frac{a}{x_1}; \quad u = \frac{x}{x_1} \frac{dx_1}{dt}.$

Let now, for a second approximation,

$$p = \Pi \frac{a}{x_1} + p'; \quad u = \frac{x}{x_1} \frac{dx_1}{dt} + u';$$

so that  $p'$  and  $u'$  are small quantities of the order  $\frac{1}{k}$ ; then, substituting these values in (5) and (6), remembering that the quantities which are not small must destroy each other, and retaining only small quantities of the first order, we have

$$\frac{dp'}{dx} = \frac{\Pi a}{kx_1} \left( X - \frac{x}{x_1} \frac{d^2x_1}{dt^2} \right) \dots \dots \dots (7);$$

$$\frac{dp'}{dt} + \frac{1}{x_1} \frac{dx_1}{dt} \frac{dp'}{dx} + \Pi \frac{a}{x_1} \frac{du'}{dx} = 0 \dots \dots \dots (8);$$

and the conditions (2), (3) and (4) give

$$u' = 0 \text{ when } x = 0, \text{ or } x = x_1, \text{ and } t \text{ is positive.} \dots \dots \dots (9);$$

$$\int_0^a p' dx = 0 \text{ when } t = 0 \dots \dots \dots (10).$$

Integrating (7), we have

$$p' = \frac{\Pi a}{kx_1} \left( \int_0^x X dx - \frac{x^2}{2x_1} \frac{d^2 x_1}{dt^2} \right) + \omega(t) \dots \dots \dots (11).$$

Substituting the values of  $p'$  and of its differential coefficients in (8), and integrating, we obtain

$$u' = \frac{x^3}{6kx_1^2} \frac{d}{dt} \left( x_1 \frac{d^2 x_1}{dt^2} \right) - \frac{1}{kx_1} \frac{dx_1}{dt} \int_0^x X dx - \frac{x}{\Pi a} \frac{d}{dt} \{x_1 \omega(t)\} + \zeta(t) \dots \dots \dots (12).$$

The conditions (9) give  $\zeta(t) = 0$ ;

$$\frac{1}{6k} \frac{d}{dt} \left( x_1 \frac{d^2 x_1}{dt^2} \right) - \frac{1}{kx_1^2} \frac{dx_1}{dt} \int_0^{x_1} X dx - \frac{1}{\Pi a} \frac{d}{dt} \{x_1 \omega(t)\} = 0;$$

and integrating, we get

$$x_1 \omega(t) = \frac{\Pi a x_1}{6k} \frac{d^2 x_1}{dt^2} - \frac{\Pi a}{k} \int_a^{x_1} \left( \int_0^{x_1} X dx \right) \frac{dx_1}{x_1^2} + C \dots \dots (13).$$

Putting  $f$  for the initial value of  $\frac{d^2 x_1}{dt^2}$  we have, from (10) and

$$(11), \quad \frac{\Pi}{k} \left( \int_0^a dx \int_0^x X dx - \frac{f a^2}{b} \right) + \omega(0) a = 0;$$

and substituting the value of  $\omega(0)$  given by this equation in (13), after having made  $t = 0$ ,  $x_1 = a$ ,  $\frac{d^2 x_1}{dt^2} = f$  in the latter, we have

$$C = - \frac{\Pi}{k} \int_0^a dx \int_0^x X dx.$$

Substituting this value of  $C$  in that of  $\omega(t)$ , and substituting in (11) and (12), and then substituting the values of  $p'$  and  $u'$  in those of  $p$  and  $u$ , we have

$$p = \Pi \frac{a}{x_1} + \frac{\Pi a}{kx_1} \left( \int_0^x X dx - \frac{x^2}{2x_1} \frac{d^2 x_1}{dt^2} \right) + \frac{\Pi a}{6k} \frac{d^2 x_1}{dt^2} - \frac{\Pi a}{kx_1} \int_a^{x_1} \left( \int_0^{x_1} X dx \right) \frac{dx_1}{x_1^2} - \frac{\Pi}{kx_1} \int_0^a \left( \int_0^x X dx \right) dx \dots \dots \dots (14);$$

$$u = \frac{x}{x_1} \frac{dx_1}{dt} - \frac{x}{6k} \left( 1 - \frac{x^2}{x_1^2} \right) \frac{d}{dt} \left( x_1 \frac{d^2 x_1}{dt^2} \right) + \frac{1}{kx_1} \frac{dx_1}{dt} \left\{ \frac{x}{x_1} \int_0^{x_1} X dx - \int_0^x X dx \right\} \dots \dots \dots (15).$$

Let  $A$  be the area of a section of the cylinder, and let  $\frac{\Pi A a}{k} = \mu$ , so that  $\mu$  is the mass of the air; then we have

$$p_1 A = \Pi A \frac{a}{x_1} - \frac{\mu}{3} \frac{d^2 x_1}{dt^2} + \frac{\mu}{x_1} \int_0^{x_1} X dx - \frac{\mu}{x_1} \int_0^{x_1} \left( \int_0^{x_1} X dx \right) \frac{dx_1}{x_1^2} - \frac{\mu}{ax_1} \int_0^a dx \int_0^x X dx.$$

If there were no motion, the term  $-\frac{\mu}{3} \frac{d^2 x_1}{dt^2}$  would disappear.

But in that case the value of  $p_1 A$ , the pressure on the piston, might be deduced immediately from the equation of equilibrium of an elastic fluid

$$\frac{1}{p} \frac{dp}{dx} = \frac{X}{k}.$$

Integrating this equation, determining the constant by the condition that  $\int_0^{x_1} p dx = \Pi a$ , multiplying by  $A$ , and putting  $x = x_1$ , we have, neglecting  $\frac{1}{k^3}$ ,

$$p_1 A = \Pi A \frac{a}{x_1} + \frac{\mu}{x_1} \int_0^{x_1} X dx - \frac{\mu}{x_1^2} \int_0^{x_1} \left( \int_0^x X dx \right) dx.$$

Comparing this expression with the above, when the second term of the latter is left out, we have

$$\int_a^{x_1} \left( \int_0^{x_1} X dx \right) \frac{dx_1}{x_1^2} + \frac{1}{a} \int_0^a dx \int_0^x X dx = \frac{1}{x_1} \int_0^{x_1} dx \int_0^a X dx,$$

a formula which may also be proved directly. We have then

$$p_1 A = \Pi A \frac{a}{x_1} - \frac{\mu}{3} \frac{d^2 x_1}{dt^2} + \mu \frac{d}{dx_1} \left( \frac{1}{x_1} \int_0^{x_1} dx_1 \int_0^{x_1} X dx \right).$$

The first term would be the value of the pressure on the piston if the air had no inertia and were acted on by no external forces; the second term is that due to the *inertia* of the air; the last term is that due to the external forces, and in the case of gravity expresses the effect of the *weight* of the air. If  $M$  be the mass of the piston,  $P$  the accelerating force parallel to  $x$  acting on it, not including the pressure of the air, its equation of motion is

$$\left( M + \frac{\mu}{3} \right) \frac{d^2 x_1}{dt^2} = MP + \Pi A \frac{a}{x_1} + \mu \frac{d}{dx_1} \left( \frac{1}{x_1} \int_0^{x_1} dx_1 \int_0^{x_1} X dx \right) \dots (16).$$

Hence the effect of the inertia of the air is to increase the

mass of the piston by one third of that of the air, without increasing the moving force acting on it. If we could integrate equation (16) twice, we should determine the arbitrary constants by means of the initial values of  $x_1$  and  $\frac{dx_1}{dt}$ , and thus get  $x_1$  in terms of  $t$ : then, substituting in (14) and (15), we should obtain  $p$  and  $u$  as functions of  $x$  and  $t$ .

If the cylinder be vertical and smooth and turned upwards, we have  $P = X = -g$ ; and if, moreover, the motion be very small, putting  $x_1 = a + y$ , and neglecting  $y^2$ , we have

$$\left( M + \frac{\mu}{3} \right) \frac{d^2y}{dt^2} + \frac{\pi A}{a} y = \pi A - \left( M + \frac{\mu}{2} \right) g.$$

The term at the second side of this equation is by hypothesis small, and, if we suppose the mean value of  $x$  to be taken for  $a$ , it is zero. On this supposition  $\pi A = \left( M + \frac{\mu}{2} \right) g$ , and the

time of a small oscillation will be  $2\pi \sqrt{\frac{M + \frac{\mu}{3}}{M + \frac{\mu}{2}}} \cdot \frac{a}{g}$ , which

becomes, since  $\mu^2$  is neglected throughout,  $2\pi \left( 1 - \frac{\mu}{12M} \right) \sqrt{\frac{a}{g}}$ .

The reader who wishes to see the complete solution of the problem, in the case where no forces act on the air, and the air and piston are at first at rest, may consult a paper of Lagrange's with additions made by Poisson in the *Journal de l'Ecole Polytechnique*.

---

#### VIII.—ON THE EQUATIONS OF THE MOTION OF HEAT REFERRED TO CURVILINEAR CO-ORDINATES.

LET  $x, y, z$  be the rectangular co-ordinates of any point in space, and let  $\lambda, \lambda_1, \lambda_2$  be any functions of  $x, y, z$ , such that

$$\lambda = a, \quad \lambda_1 = a_1, \quad \lambda_2 = a_2. \dots \dots \dots \quad (1)$$

are the equations of three surfaces cutting one another at right angles for any values of the variable parameters  $a, a_1, a_2$ . The three series produced by giving all possible values to these parameters form what is called a system of conjugate orthogonal surfaces.

It has been proved by Dupin that the surfaces of any two of the three series cut each surface of the other series in its

lines of curvature. Hence if one series be given, the other two are determinable from it, except in such extreme cases as those in which the lines of curvature of the given series are indeterminate.

In the method of curvilinear co-ordinates, as proposed by Lamé, the position of any point in space is determined by the three conjugate surfaces of the system (1) which intersect in the point. Thus, if any point  $P$  be given, there will be at least one of the surfaces of the first series which passes through it, and in general, but especially such cases as we shall consider, there will be only one.  $a_1$  the value of  $\lambda$  corresponding to this surface is one of the co-ordinates of  $P$ . The other two co-ordinates are the values of  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  corresponding to the surfaces of the second and third series which contain the two lines of curvature through  $P$  of the first surface.

This general method of co-ordinates comprehends the two systems, rectangular and polar, in ordinary use. Thus, if  $\lambda = x$ ,  $\lambda_1 = y$ ,  $\lambda_2 = z$ , equations (1) will become

$$x = a, \quad y = a_1, \quad z = a_2,$$

the equations to three planes at right angles, by their intersection, determining the point  $P$ , whose rectangular co-ordinates are  $a$ ,  $a_1$ ,  $a_2$ . Similarly, if the first be a series of concentric spheres, the second a series of planes through a diameter of the sphere, and the third a series of cones having this diameter for axis, and the centre for vertex,  $\lambda$ ,  $\lambda_1$ ,  $\lambda_2$  will be polar co-ordinates.

The equations of the motion of heat in a solid body may be referred to the general system of curvilinear co-ordinates in the following manner, which is exactly similar to that by which Fourier establishes them for rectangular rectilinear co-ordinates. For simplicity we shall suppose the body homogeneous, though the investigation would be in principle as simple if this were not the case. Let  $d$  be its density,  $h$  its conducting power, and  $c$  its capacity for heat, or the quantity of heat necessary to raise the temperature of a unit of its mass by unity.

Let  $\lambda$ ,  $\lambda_1$ ,  $\lambda_2$  be the co-ordinates of any point  $P$  in the body, and let  $\lambda + d\lambda$ ,  $\lambda_1 + d\lambda_1$ ,  $\lambda_2 + d\lambda_2$  be those of an adjacent point  $P'$ . The portions of the six surfaces corresponding to  $\lambda$ ,  $\lambda + d\lambda$ ,  $\lambda_1$ ,  $\lambda_1 + d\lambda_1$ ,  $\lambda_2$ , and  $\lambda_2 + d\lambda_2$  adjacent to the points  $P$  and  $P'$  will form a rectangular parallelopiped, of which  $P$  and  $P'$  are opposite angles. Let  $dp$ ,  $dp_1$ ,  $dp_2$  be the three edges of this parallelopiped which are respectively

perpendicular to the surfaces corresponding to  $\lambda$ ,  $\lambda_1$ , and  $\lambda_2$ :  $dp$  will be the element of the normal to the surface  $\lambda$ , commencing at this surface and terminated by the surface  $\lambda + d\lambda$ , and similarly with  $dp_1$  and  $dp_2$ . Hence, by a known theorem,

$$dp = \left( \frac{d\lambda^2}{dx^2} + \frac{d\lambda^2}{dy^2} + \frac{d\lambda^2}{dz^2} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} d\lambda = Hd\lambda, \text{ for brevity.} \dots (a),$$

$$dp_1 = \left( \frac{d\lambda_1^2}{dx^2} + \frac{d\lambda_1^2}{dy^2} + \frac{d\lambda_1^2}{dz^2} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} d\lambda_1 = H_1 d\lambda_1 \dots (b),$$

$$dp_2 = \left( \frac{d\lambda_2^2}{dx^2} + \frac{d\lambda_2^2}{dy^2} + \frac{d\lambda_2^2}{dz^2} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} d\lambda_2 = H_2 d\lambda_2 \dots (c).$$

Let  $v$  be the temperature of the body at  $P$ , and let  $v + d_\lambda v + d_{\lambda_1} v + d_{\lambda_2} v$  be the temperature at  $P'$ ;  $d_\lambda v$ ,  $d_{\lambda_1} v$ , and  $d_{\lambda_2} v$  denoting the increments of  $v$  which correspond to the increments  $d\lambda$ ,  $d\lambda_1$ ,  $d\lambda_2$  of  $\lambda$ ,  $\lambda_1$ ,  $\lambda_2$ . This notation, for *partial differentials*, we shall find it convenient to use in those cases in which it is not convenient to employ *partial differential coefficients*. The quantity of heat which flows into the rectangular parallelopiped  $dp \cdot dp_1 \cdot dp_2$  across the side which coincides with  $\lambda$ , in the time  $dt$ , is

$$-k \frac{d_\lambda v}{dp} dp_1 dp_2 \cdot dt,$$

and the quantity which flows out across the opposite side, in the same time,

$$-k \left( \frac{d_\lambda v}{dp} dp_1 dp_2 \right) dt - kd_\lambda \left( \frac{d_\lambda v}{dp} dp_1 dp_2 \right) dt.$$

The difference of these two expressions,

$$\text{or } kd_\lambda \left( \frac{d_\lambda v}{dp} dp_1 dp_2 \right) dt,$$

is the whole quantity of heat which flows into the element during the time  $dt$  in a direction perpendicular to  $\lambda$ .

Similarly, the quantities of heat which the element gains in the same time, by motion in directions perpendicular to  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$ , are

$$kd_{\lambda_1} \left( \frac{d_{\lambda_1} v}{dp_1} dp dp_2 \right) dt, \text{ and } kd_{\lambda_2} \left( \frac{d_{\lambda_2} v}{dp_2} dp dp_1 \right) dt,$$

and therefore the entire quantity of heat gained by the element in the time  $dt$ , is

$$k \left\{ d_\lambda \left( \frac{d_\lambda v}{dp} dp_1 dp_2 \right) + d_{\lambda_1} \left( \frac{d_{\lambda_1} v}{dp_1} dp dp_2 \right) + d_{\lambda_2} \left( \frac{d_{\lambda_2} v}{dp_2} dp dp_1 \right) \right\};$$

or, by (a), (b), (c),

$$k \left\{ \frac{d}{d\lambda} \left( \frac{dv}{d\lambda} \frac{H_1 H_2}{H} \right) + \frac{d}{d\lambda_1} \left( \frac{dv}{d\lambda_1} \frac{H H_2}{H_1} \right) + \frac{d}{d\lambda_2} \left( \frac{dv}{d\lambda_2} \frac{H H_1}{H_2} \right) \right\} d\lambda d\lambda_1 d\lambda_2,$$

where, in accordance with the ordinary notation of partial differential coefficients, the suffixes are omitted in the partial differentials.

Now if  $dv$  be the alteration of the temperature of the element  $d\lambda d\lambda_1 d\lambda_2$ , in the time  $dt$ , the corresponding addition of heat is

$$c \cdot d \cdot dv \cdot d\lambda d\lambda_1 d\lambda_2, \text{ or } cd \cdot dv \cdot H H_1 H_2 d\lambda d\lambda_1 d\lambda_2.$$

Hence we have the equation

$$H H_1 H_2 \frac{dv}{dt} = \frac{k}{c \cdot d} \left\{ \frac{d}{d\lambda} \left( \frac{dv}{d\lambda} \frac{H_1 H_2}{H} \right) + \frac{d}{d\lambda_1} \left( \frac{dv}{d\lambda_1} \frac{H H_2}{H_1} \right) + \frac{d}{d\lambda_2} \left( \frac{dv}{d\lambda_2} \frac{H H_1}{H_2} \right) \right\} \dots \dots \dots (2),$$

which expresses fully, by means of curvilinear co-ordinates, the motion of heat in the interior of homogeneous solid bodies.

If the motion has continued so long as to have become uniform, then  $\frac{dv}{dt} = 0$ , and the equation becomes

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda} \left( \frac{dv}{d\lambda} \frac{H_1 H_2}{H} \right) + \frac{d}{d\lambda_1} \left( \frac{dv}{d\lambda_1} \frac{H H_2}{H_1} \right) + \frac{d}{d\lambda_2} \left( \frac{dv}{d\lambda_2} \frac{H H_1}{H_2} \right) = 0 \dots \dots \dots (3).$$

This equation was first given by Lamé, in a paper entitled "Mémoire sur les lois de l'Equilibre du Fluide Ethéré," in the *Journal de l'Ecole Polytechnique*, (Vol. III. cahier xxIII.), who deduced it by a very laborious transformation from the equation

$$\frac{d^2v}{dx^2} + \frac{d^2v}{dy^2} + \frac{d^2v}{dz^2} = 0 \dots \dots \dots (4),$$

in which the motion is referred to rectilinear co-ordinates. Equation (3) comprehends, as particular forms, equation (4), and the equation in which the motion is referred to polar co-ordinates. The former of these is obtained at once, if we put  $\lambda = x$ ,  $\lambda_1 = y$ ,  $\lambda_2 = z$ , which gives  $H = H_1 = H_2 = 1$ . To find the latter, let

$$\lambda = (x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} = r,$$

$$\lambda_1 = \tan^{-1} \frac{y}{x} = \phi,$$

$$\lambda_2 = \frac{z}{(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} = \cos \theta.$$

Hence

$$H = \left( \frac{dr^2}{dx^2} + \frac{dr^2}{dy^2} + \frac{dr^2}{dz^2} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} = 1,$$

$$H_1 = \left( \frac{d\phi^2}{dx^2} + \frac{d\phi^2}{dy^2} + \frac{d\phi^2}{dz^2} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} = r \sin \theta,$$

$$H_2 = \left\{ \frac{(d \cos \theta)^2}{dx^2} + \frac{(d \cos \theta)^2}{dy^2} + \frac{(d \cos \theta^2)}{dz^2} \right\}^{-\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{r^2}{(r^2 - z^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} = \frac{r}{\sin \theta}.$$

Therefore (3) becomes

$$\frac{d}{dr} \left( r^2 \frac{dv}{dr} \right) + \frac{d}{d \cos \theta} \left( \sin^2 \theta \frac{dv}{d \cos \theta} \right) + \frac{d}{d\phi} \left( \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta} \frac{dv}{d\phi} \right) = 0,$$

$$\text{or } r \frac{d^2(rv)}{dr^2} + \frac{d}{d \cos \theta} \left( \sin^2 \theta \frac{dv}{d \cos \theta} \right) + \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta} \frac{d^2 v}{d \phi^2} = 0,$$

the well-known equation of which so much use is made in the determination of the properties of the expansion of  $v$  in a series of powers of  $r$ . Equation (3) may also be applied to find the conditions which must be satisfied so that each series of a system of conjugate orthogonal surfaces may be isothermal; and we shall thus be enabled to answer the question proposed in a paper in the last number of this *Journal* (vol. III. p. 286).

If the first series of surfaces, or the series represented by the equation  $\lambda = a$ , be isothermal, (3) must be satisfied by the assumption  $v = f(\lambda)$ , and therefore

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda} \left( \frac{H_1 H_2}{H} f' \lambda \right) = 0 \dots \dots \dots \quad (5)$$

Similarly, if the second and third series be isothermal,

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda_1} \left( \frac{HH_2}{H_1} f'_1 \lambda_1 \right) = 0. \dots \dots \dots \quad (6)$$

Integrating these equations, we have

$$\frac{HH_2}{H} f'_1 \lambda_1 = F_1(\lambda, \lambda_2). \dots \dots \dots \quad (9)$$

$$\frac{HH_1}{H} f_2 \lambda_2 = F_2(\lambda, \lambda_1) \dots \dots \dots \quad (10)$$

These three equations, together with the following,

$$\frac{d\lambda_1}{dx} \frac{d\lambda_2}{dx} + \frac{d\lambda_1}{dy} \frac{d\lambda_2}{dy} + \frac{d\lambda_1}{dz} \frac{d\lambda_2}{dz} = 0 \dots \dots \dots (11),$$

$$\frac{d\lambda_2}{dx} \frac{d\lambda}{dx} + \frac{d\lambda_2}{dy} \frac{d\lambda}{dy} + \frac{d\lambda_2}{dz} \frac{d\lambda}{dz} = 0 \dots \dots \dots (12),$$

$$\frac{d\lambda}{dx} \frac{d\lambda_1}{dx} + \frac{d\lambda}{dy} \frac{d\lambda_1}{dy} + \frac{d\lambda}{dz} \frac{d\lambda_1}{dz} = 0 \dots \dots \dots (13),$$

which make the surfaces cut one another at right angles, are the conditions which must be satisfied if the three series be orthogonal and isothermal. If we could eliminate all the quantities depending on two of the series, the second and third for instance, we should find two equations relative to the first series which make it both be isothermal itself, and possess the property that the two series which cut its surfaces orthogonally shall also be isothermal. This elimination is probably quite impracticable in general. There is however an extensive class of surfaces which we see by inspection satisfies each condition, the class of cylindrical isothermal surfaces. For, if the axis of  $z$  be parallel to the generating lines of a series of isothermal cylindrical surfaces represented by the equation  $\lambda = a$ ,  $\lambda$  will be independent of  $z$ , and  $\lambda_1 = a_1$  being the equation of a series of orthogonal cylindrical surfaces,  $\lambda_1$  will also be independent of  $z$ . The third series of the conjugate system will be a series of planes parallel to  $xy$ . Hence  $H$  and  $H_1$  are functions of  $x$  and  $y$  alone, and therefore of  $\lambda$  and  $\lambda_1$ , and  $H_2$  is a function of  $z$  and therefore of  $\lambda_2$ . Now since  $\lambda = a$  is an isothermal system, (5) must be satisfied, and therefore  $\frac{H_1 H_2}{H}$  must contain the factor  $\frac{1}{f'(\lambda)}$ , and  $\lambda$  must enter in no other manner. Hence  $\frac{H}{H_1}$  is the product of two functions, one of  $\lambda$  and the other of  $\lambda_1$ , and therefore  $\frac{H H_2}{H_1}$  must consist of three factors, functions of  $\lambda$ ,  $\lambda_1$ ,  $\lambda_2$  separately. Hence if we choose the arbitrary function  $f'(\lambda_1)$  properly, (6) will be satisfied. Also, in every case, whether  $\lambda = a$  be isothermal or not, we may choose  $f'_2(\lambda_2)$  in such a manner that (7) shall be satisfied; in fact a series of parallel planes is necessarily isothermal. We have thus seen that the two conjugate orthogonal series to a series of isothermal cylinders are themselves isothermal, which agrees with what was proved in the paper already alluded to, (vol. III. p. 286).

In the case in which  $\lambda$  and  $\lambda_1$  are surfaces of revolution we can obtain from (5), (6), and (7) a simple condition, which being satisfied,  $\lambda$  and  $\lambda_1$  will each be isothermal, if one of them is so. To effect this, let the axis of revolution be taken for axis of  $x$ , and let  $\rho = (y^2 + z^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . The generating lines of the surfaces of revolution of which the series  $\lambda$  and  $\lambda_1$  are composed will be plane curves expressed by two equations between  $x$  and  $\rho$ . If in these equations we write  $(y^2 + z^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  for  $\rho$ , the results will be the equations  $\lambda = a$ ,  $\lambda_1 = a_1$  of the surfaces of revolution. Hence  $\lambda$  and  $\lambda_1$  are functions of  $x$  and  $\rho$ , and therefore, conversely,  $x$  and  $\rho$  are functions of  $\lambda$  and  $\lambda_1$ . Hence we see readily that  $H$  and  $H_1$  depend only on  $x$  and  $\rho$ , or on  $\lambda$  and  $\lambda_1$ . Also, the equation  $\lambda_2 = a_2$  must represent a series of planes passing through the axis of  $x$ , and we may therefore assume  $\lambda_2 = \omega = \tan^{-1} \frac{y}{z}$ . From this we readily deduce  $H_2 = \rho$ . Hence (7) is always satisfied, independently of  $\lambda$  and  $\lambda_1$ ; that is to say, a series of planes passing through a fixed axis, is isothermal. Hence we have only to consider the conditions relative to  $\lambda$  and  $\lambda_1$ , or equations (5) and (6). If we substitute for  $H_2$  its value, these become

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda} \left\{ \frac{\rho H_1}{H} f'(\lambda) \right\} = 0 \dots \dots \dots \quad (14),$$

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda_1} \left\{ \frac{\rho H_1}{H_1} f'(\lambda_1) \right\} = 0 \dots \dots \dots \quad (15).$$

From these we readily deduce

$$\rho = F(\lambda) \cdot F_1(\lambda_1) \dots \dots \dots \quad (16),$$

$F$  and  $F_1$  being entirely arbitrary. Hence, if the equation  $\lambda = a$  of a series of surfaces of revolution be given and  $\lambda_1 = a_1$ , the equation to the orthogonal system be deduced, and if it be found that the distance of any point from the axis of revolution can be expressed in the form (13), then both systems, or neither, will be isothermal.

If the given series be isothermal this test may be applied very readily, as in that case we are always able at once to find the equation of the conjugate series. To shew this, let the series  $\lambda$  be isothermal. Then (14) will be true, and therefore we have, by integration,

$$\frac{\rho H_1}{H} f'(\lambda) = F(\lambda_1),$$

$$\therefore H_1 = \frac{HF(\lambda_1)}{\rho f'(\lambda)} \dots \dots \dots (17).$$

Also, since the sections of the two surfaces made by any plane through  $x$  are perpendicular to one another, we have

$$\frac{d\lambda}{dx} \frac{d\lambda_1}{dx} + \frac{d\lambda}{d\rho} \frac{d\lambda_1}{d\rho} = 0 \dots \dots \dots (18);$$

this equation gives

$$\frac{d\lambda_1}{dx} = - \frac{\frac{d\lambda}{d\rho}}{\frac{d\lambda}{dx}} = \frac{H}{H_1},$$

and therefore, by (14),

$$F(\lambda_1) \frac{d\lambda_1}{dx} = \frac{dL_1}{dx} = \rho f''(\lambda) \frac{d\lambda}{d\rho},$$

$$F(\lambda_1) \frac{d\lambda_1}{d\rho} = \frac{dL_1}{d\rho} = - \rho f''(\lambda) \frac{d\lambda}{dx},$$

if  $L_1 = \int F(\lambda_1) d\lambda_1$ ; therefore

$$L_1 = \int \rho f''(\lambda) \left( \frac{d\lambda}{d\rho} dx - \frac{d\lambda}{dx} d\rho \right) \dots \dots \dots (19),$$

and  $L_1 = a$  is the equation of the series of orthogonal trajectories to the series of curves in which the surfaces of revolution of the given isothermal system are cut by any plane through the axis. We may verify this solution by observing that the criterion of integrability for the expressions given above for  $\frac{dL_1}{dx}$  and  $\frac{dL_1}{d\rho}$  is satisfied; since, if we transform the equation

$$\frac{d^2(f\lambda)}{dx^2} + \frac{d^2(f\lambda)}{dy^2} + \frac{d^2(f\lambda)}{dz^2} = 0,$$

to the independent variables  $x$  and  $\rho$ ,  $f\lambda$  being independent of  $\frac{y}{z}$ , we have

$$f''(\lambda) \left( \frac{d^2\lambda}{dx^2} + \frac{d^2\lambda}{d\rho^2} \right) + f'''(\lambda) \left( \frac{d\lambda^2}{dx^2} + \frac{d\lambda^2}{d\rho^2} \right) + \frac{1}{\rho} f''(\lambda) \frac{d\lambda}{d\rho} = 0.$$

If  $\lambda$  itself satisfy (4), we may take  $f(\lambda) = \lambda$ , and the transformed equation becomes

$$\frac{d^2\lambda}{dx^2} + \frac{d^2\lambda}{d\rho^2} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{d\lambda}{d\rho} = 0 \dots \dots \dots (20).$$

Also, if we take  $\lambda_1 = L_1$ , (19) becomes

$$\lambda_1 = \int \rho \left( \frac{d\lambda}{d\rho} dx - \frac{d\lambda}{dx} d\rho \right) \dots \dots \dots \quad (21).$$

As an example of the application of these formulæ, let  $\lambda$  represent the series of *surfaces of equilibrium* in the case of a sphere having matter distributed over it, according to the law of the distribution of electricity on a neutral conducting sphere under the influence of a distant electrified body. It is readily shown that, if  $\lambda$  be proportional to the *potential* of such a system, on external points, and if the centre of the sphere be origin, and the line joining this point and the influencing body axis of  $x$ , we have

$$\lambda = \frac{x}{(x^2 + \rho^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} = \frac{x}{r^3} \dots \dots \dots \quad (a).$$

Hence  $\lambda = a$  represents a series of isothermal surfaces of revolution, and  $\lambda$  satisfies (20), as may be readily verified. Hence, if  $\lambda_1 = a_1$  be the orthogonal system of surfaces of revolution, we have, by (21),

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{\rho^2}{(x^2 + \rho^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} = \frac{\rho^2}{r^3} \dots \dots \dots \quad (b).$$

If between (a) and (b) we eliminate  $x$ , we have

$$\rho^{\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{\lambda_1^2}{\lambda^2} \rho^{\frac{3}{2}} - \frac{\lambda_1^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\lambda^2} = 0 \dots \dots \dots \quad (c).$$

The value of  $\rho$  deduced from this equation is not of the form  $F(\lambda) \cdot F_1(\lambda_1)$ ; and hence, by (16), the second series is not isothermal. Similarly, if  $\lambda$  represent the potential of two equal material points situated at the distance  $2a$  from one another on the point  $xyz$ , we have

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{\{(x - a)^2 + \rho^2\}^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{1}{\{(x + a)^2 + \rho^2\}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \dots \dots \dots \quad (d).$$

Then, by (18), we have, for the orthogonal system,

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{x - a}{\{(x - a)^2 + \rho^2\}^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{x + a}{\{(x + a)^2 + \rho^2\}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \dots \dots \dots \quad (e).$$

The value of  $\rho$  deduced from these two equations cannot, I think, be of the form  $F(\lambda) \cdot F_1(\lambda_1)$ , and hence in this case also the second series is not isothermal. Hence the question proposed in the paper already referred to (vol. III. p. 286)

must be answered in the negative, the proposition to which it refers being not generally true, since we have found cases of surfaces of revolution with regard to which it does not hold; but it holds with regard to every system of conjugate orthogonal surfaces, for which equations (8), (9), and (10) are satisfied. Also, since it does not appear that any two of these equations imply the third, it may happen that two series of a system may be isothermal and the third not, as is exemplified in the particular cases above considered, in each of which a series of surfaces of revolution and of orthogonal planes are isothermal, and the third series, consisting of surfaces of revolution, is not isothermal.

P. Q. R.

## IX.—OF ASYMPTOTES TO ALGEBRAIC CURVES.

By D. F. GREGORY, M.A. Fellow of Trinity College.

THE ordinary method of deducing the equations to asymptotes to plane curves, whether by finding finite values of the intercepts of the tangents for infinite values of the co-ordinates of the point of contact, or by the more convenient method of expansion in descending powers of one of the variables, are essentially unsymmetrical. Moreover the former is often inapplicable from the difficulty of finding the true value of a fraction of which the numerator and denominator are infinite, and the latter fails when the asymptote is parallel to one of the co-ordinate axes. The following method, though appropriate to algebraic curves only, is for them of very easy application, and, besides being symmetrical, leads us readily to the demonstrations of various properties of asymptotes to curves.

Let the equation to the curve, cleared of fractions and radicals, be put in the form

$u = \phi_n(x, y) + \phi_{n-1}(x, y) + \phi_{n-2}(x, y) + \&c. + \phi_0 = 0. \dots (1)$ ,  
 the symbol  $\phi_r(x, y)$  denoting generally a *homogeneous* function of  $r$  dimensions in  $x$  and  $y$ ; then the equation to the tangent at a point  $(x, y)$  may be written

$$x' \frac{du}{dx} + y' \frac{du}{dy} + \phi_{n-1}(x, y) + 2\phi_{n-2}(x, y) + \&c. + n\phi_0 = 0. \dots (2),$$

$x', y'$  being the current co-ordinates of the tangent.

The definition of an asymptote is, that it is a line which, passing through a point at a finite distance from the origin, touches the curve at an infinite distance. Now if  $x, y$  be the co-ordinates of the point of contact;  $x', y'$  those of a point

through which the line passes;  $l, m$  the cosines of the angles which the line makes with the axes, we have

$r$  being the distance between the point  $x, y$  and  $x', y'$ . Hence

$$x = x' + lr, \quad y = y' + mr,$$

and substituting these values in (1), it becomes

$$\phi_n(l, m)r^n + \left\{ \left( x' \frac{d}{dl} + y' \frac{d}{dm} \right) \phi_n(l, m) + \phi_{n-1}(l, m) \right\} r^{n-1} + \text{etc.} = 0 \dots (4).$$

But if the point  $x, y$  is at an infinite distance,  $r$ , must be infinite, which involves the condition that the coefficient of the highest power of  $r$  shall vanish; consequently we must have

as an equation for determining the *direction* of the asymptotes. Again, if we substitute in (2) for  $x$  and  $y$  their values derived from (3), we have, arranging in powers of  $r$ ,

$$\left\{ \left( x' \frac{d}{dl} + y' \frac{d}{dm} \right) \phi_n(l, m) + \phi_{n-1}(l, m) \right\} r^{n-1} + \&c. = 0, \dots (6).$$

Since the asymptote is by definition a particular case of the tangent, this equation also must give an infinite value for  $r$ , which involves the condition

$$\left( x' \frac{d}{dl} + y' \frac{d}{dm} \right) \phi_n(l, m) + \phi_{n-1}(l, m) = 0 \dots \dots \dots (7)$$

Now this equation is linear in  $x'$  and  $y'$ , which are the coordinates of *any* point through which the asymptote passes, that is of any point in the line; so that this equation is in fact the equation to the asymptote, if we substitute in it the relations between  $l$  and  $m$ , which satisfy equation (5). As from the homogeneity of the terms,  $l$  and  $m$  finally disappear, and as they are involved exactly as  $x$  and  $y$  are in the equation to the curve, we may express the process for finding the asymptotes to a curve simply as follows. Let the equation to the curve be put in the form

$$u_n + u_{n-1} + u_{n-2} + \&c. + u_0 = 0 \dots \dots \dots (8),$$

$u_r$  being a homogeneous function in  $x$  and  $y$  of the  $r^{\text{th}}$  order, then the equation to the asymptotes will be found by eliminating  $x$  and  $y$  from

$$x' \frac{du_n}{dx} + y' \frac{du_n}{dy} + u_{n-1} = 0$$

by means of the relation between  $x$  and  $y$  given by the equation

$$u_n = 0.$$

Since the equation  $u_n = 0$  is of the  $n^{\text{th}}$  degree in  $x$  and  $y$ , it appears that a curve of the  $n^{\text{th}}$  degree can have  $n$  asymptotes and no more. If there be any impossible factors in  $u_n = 0$ , there are no corresponding asymptotes; and as impossible factors enter the equation by pairs, a curve of the  $n^{\text{th}}$  degree must have  $n$  or  $n - 2$  or  $n - 4$  or &c. asymptotes.

As an example take the curve

$$y^3 - x^3 - ax^3 = 0.$$

In this case  $u_n = 0$  becomes  $y^3 - x^3 = 0$ , in which there is only one possible factor  $y - x = 0$ . The other equation

$$3y'y^2 - 3x'x^2 - ax^3 = 0$$

becomes, on putting  $y = x$ ,

$$y' - x' = \frac{a}{3},$$

which is the equation to the asymptote.

Again, let the curve be

$$xy^2 - x^3 + 2a^2y = 0;$$

then the equation  $u_n = 0$  becomes

$$xy^2 - x^3 = 0,$$

giving three factors

$$x = 0, \quad y = +x, \quad y = -x.$$

The first of these substituted in the equation

$$x'(y^2 - 3x^2) + 2y'xy = 0,$$

gives

$$x' = 0,$$

the second and third give

$$x' - y' = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad x' + y' = 0,$$

which are the equations to the three asymptotes, the first being evidently the axis of  $y$ , and the others inclined at angles  $\pm 45^\circ$  to the axis of  $x$ . Let the curve be

$$(x + a)y^3 = (y + b)x^3, \quad \text{or} \quad xy^2 - yx^2 + ay^3 - bx^3 = 0.$$

The equation  $u_n = 0$  here becomes

$$xy^2 - yx^2 = 0, \quad \text{or} \quad xy(y - x) = 0,$$

which has three possible factors

$$x = 0, \quad y = 0, \quad y - x = 0.$$

The general equation to the asymptotes is

$$x'(y^2 - 2xy) + y'(2xy - x^2) + ay^3 - bx^3 = 0:$$

for  $x = 0$  this is reduced to

$$x' + a = 0;$$

for  $y = 0$  it becomes  $y' + b$ ;

and for  $y - x = 0$  it becomes

$$y' - x' + a - b = 0,$$

and these are the equations to the three asymptotes. One advantage of this method of finding asymptotes is that a simple inspection of the highest terms of the equation shows at once the number and direction of the asymptotes. The method however fails if the equation  $u_n = 0$  contain equal possible roots, indicating the existence of parallel asymptotes.

For in this case  $\frac{du_n}{dx}$  and  $\frac{du_n}{dy}$  will vanish along with  $u_n$ , and consequently the equation to the asymptote is nugatory; but a slight extension of the process enables us to overcome the difficulty. It will be seen that the two equations are the coefficients of the  $n^{\text{th}}$  and  $(n-1)^{\text{th}}$  power of  $r$  in the expansion (4). In the case of failure from the existence of  $m$  equal roots in the equation  $u_n = 0$ , all that is necessary is to equate to zero the coefficient of the highest power of  $r$  which is unaffected by the equality of roots that is the coefficient of the  $(n-m)^{\text{th}}$  power of  $r$ , and this equation combined with the  $m$  equal roots of  $u_n = 0$  gives the equations to the parallel asymptotes. If there be two equal roots, and the equation to the curve be put in the form (8), the equation for determining the parallel asymptotes, or the coefficient of  $r^{n-2}$  equated to zero, is

$$\frac{1}{2} \left( x'^2 \frac{d^2 u_n}{dx^2} + 2x'y' \frac{d^2 u_n}{dxdy} + y'^2 \frac{d^2 u_n}{dy^2} \right) + x' \frac{du_{n-1}}{dx} + y' \frac{du_{n-1}}{dy} + u_{n-2} = 0 \dots (9).$$

As an example take the curve

$$x^2y - x^3 - 3bxy + 2b^2y = 0.$$

Here  $u_n = 0$  becomes  $x^2y - x^3 = 0$  gives

$$x^3 = 0, \quad y - x = 0.$$

$$\frac{d^2 u_n}{dx^2} = 2y - 6x = 2y \text{ when } x = 0,$$

$$\frac{d^2 u_n}{dxdy} = 2x = 0 \text{ when } x = 0, \quad \frac{d^2 u_n}{dy^2} = 0;$$

$$\frac{du_{n-1}}{dx} = -3by, \quad \frac{du_{n-1}}{dy} = -3bx = 0 \text{ when } x = 0;$$

consequently equation (9) becomes, on dividing by  $y$ ,

$$x'^2 - 3bx' + 2b^2 = 0,$$

which is decomposable into the two factors

$$x' - b = 0, \quad x' - 2b = 0,$$

the equations to two asymptotes parallel to the axis of  $y$ . The equation to the other asymptote is given by the equation  $y - x = 0$  is  $y' - x' - 3b = 0$ .

In like manner we may shew that the curve

$$x^2(x^2 + y^2) = a^2(y - x)^2$$

has two parallel asymptotes, of which the equations are

$$x' + a = 0, \text{ and } x' - a = 0.$$

The equation (4) for any values of  $l$  and  $m$  is an equation for determining the value of  $r$ , the portion of the line whose direction-cosines are  $l$  and  $m$  intercepted between a given point and the curve. It is generally of  $n$  dimensions, so that the line generally meets the curve in  $n$  points; but when the line is an asymptote, the first two terms disappear and the equation is reduced to  $n - 2$  dimensions. Consequently an asymptote cannot meet its curve in more than  $n - 2$  points; and as for all lines parallel to an asymptote the first term of (4) vanishes, lines parallel to an asymptote cannot meet the curve in more than  $n - 1$  points.

Since, from what precedes, it appears that the equation to an asymptote depends only on the terms involving the highest and second highest powers of the variables, all curves for which these are the same have the same asymptotes, and *vice versa*. And as among the curves of the  $n^{\text{th}}$  order is to be included that made up of the  $n$  asymptotes themselves, the product of their  $n$  linear equations must have the same highest and second highest terms as the equation to the curve; that is, the equation to the curve differs from the product of the equations to the  $n$  asymptotes only in terms of the  $(n - 2)^{\text{th}}$  order: so that if the equations to the asymptotes be the  $n$  linear equations

$$u' = 0, \quad u'' = 0 \dots \dots u^{(n)} = 0,$$

that to the curves to which these are asymptotes may be written  $u'u'' \dots u^{(n)} + u_{n-2} + u_{n-3} + \dots + u_0 = 0$ .

Thus if the curve be of the third order, its equation is

$$u'u''u''' + u_1 + u_0 = 0.$$

When an asymptote meets the curve, which it can do in one point only, this is to be combined with  $u' = 0$ ,  $u'' = 0$ ,  $u''' = 0$ , any one of which reduces the preceding equation to

$$u_1 + u_0 = 0,$$

which being a linear equation common to the three points in which the curve meets its asymptotes, shews that they lie in one straight line.

In like manner we may shew that the six points in which the curve is cut by lines drawn parallel to the asymptotes all lie in a curve of the second order.

---

#### X.—MATHEMATICAL NOTES.

1. If a plane passes through any point of a surface, and makes any function of the intercepts it cuts off from the axes, a maximum or a minimum when it touches the surface, this maximum or minimum value is constant for all points of the surface; and, conversely, if for every point of a surface, a given function of the intercepts of the tangent plane is constant, this function is, with reference to any single point of the surface, a maximum or minimum for the tangent plane.

This appears at once from the following considerations:  $x, y, z$  being a point in the surface,  $x_0, y_0, z_0$  the three intercepts,  $\phi(x_0, y_0, z_0)$  the given function, if we seek to determine the surface so that  $\phi$  shall be a maximum or minimum, we have the equations

$$\frac{x}{x_0} + \frac{y}{y_0} + \frac{z}{z_0} = 1 \dots \dots \dots (1),$$

$$\frac{d\phi}{dx_0} = \mu \frac{x}{x_0^2} \dots \dots (2), \quad \frac{d\phi}{dy_0} = \mu \frac{y}{y_0^2} \dots \dots (3);$$

$$\frac{d\phi}{dz_0} = \mu \frac{z}{z_0^2} \dots \dots \dots (4),$$

$\mu$  being a factor. From these equations we get

$$x_0 = f_1(xyz), \quad y_0 = f_2(xyz), \quad z_0 = f_3(xyz),$$

and therefore the differential equation of the surface is

$$\frac{dx}{f_1(xyz)} + \frac{dy}{f_2(xyz)} + \frac{dz}{f_3(xyz)} = 0 \dots \dots \dots (5);$$

for by the ordinary equation of the tangent plane we have

$$\frac{1}{x_0} : \frac{1}{y_0} : \frac{1}{z_0} :: \frac{dF}{dx} : \frac{dF}{dy} : \frac{dF}{dz},$$

$F = 0$  being the equation to the surface.

Again, if we seek to determine the surface, so that  $\phi$  shall be constant, *i.e.* to find the envelope of all the planes represented by (1), we have (1), (2), (3), (4), as before, and in addition

$$\phi(x_0, y_0, z_0) = c \dots \dots \dots (6).$$

Thus, as before,  $x_0 = f_1$ ,  $y_0 = f_2$ ,  $z_0 = f_3$ , and the equation of the surface may be got by integrating (5), and determining the constant so that the result may coincide with (6). And the identity of the equations connecting  $x_0$ ,  $y_0$ ,  $z_0$  and  $x$ ,  $y$ ,  $z$ , in the two cases proves our proposition and its converse. Take as an example the ellipsoid

$$\frac{x^2}{a^2} + \frac{y^2}{b^2} + \frac{z^2}{c^2} = 1. \quad \text{Here } x_0 = \frac{a^2}{x}, \quad y_0 = \frac{b^2}{y}, \quad z_0 = \frac{c^2}{z}.$$

$$\therefore \frac{a^2}{x_0^2} + \frac{b^2}{y_0^2} + \frac{c^2}{z_0^2} = 1.$$

Therefore the tangent plane to any point of the ellipsoid makes  $\frac{a^2}{x_0^2} + \frac{b^2}{y_0^2} + \frac{c^2}{z_0^2}$ , a minimum with reference to any plane passing through that point.

(ε).

2. To find the value of

$$\frac{fa_1}{(a_2 - a_1)(a_3 - a_1) \dots (a_n - a_1)} + \frac{fa_2}{(a_1 - a_2)(a_3 - a_2) \dots (a_n - a_2)} + \text{&c.} = A,$$

when  $a_1 = a_2 = \text{&c.} = a$ .

Let  $a_1 = a + z_1$ ,  $a_2 = a + z_2$ , &c.

$\therefore (a_2 - a_1)(a_3 - a_1) \dots (a_n - a_1) = (z_2 - z_1)(z_3 - z_1) \dots (z_n - z_1)$ ,  
and so of the rest;

$$\therefore A = fa \left\{ \frac{1}{(z_2 - z_1) \dots (z_n - z_1)} + \frac{1}{(z_1 - z_2) \dots (z_n - z_2)} + \text{&c.} \right\}$$

$$+ \text{&c.}$$

$$+ \frac{f^{(p)}a}{1 \cdot 2 \dots p} \left\{ \frac{z_1^p}{(z_2 - z_1) \dots (z_n - z_1)} + \frac{z_2^p}{(z_1 - z_2) \dots (z_n - z_2)} + \text{&c.} \right\}$$

$$+ \text{&c. by Taylor's theorem.}$$

Now, when  $z_1 = z_2 = \text{&c.} = 0$ , the coefficient of  $f^{(p)}a$  will vanish if  $p > n$ . And whatever the values of  $z$ , the coefficient of  $f^{(p)}a$  vanishes if  $p < n$ : for we know that

$$\sum \frac{z_1^k}{(z_2 - z_1) \dots (z_n - z_1)} = 0,$$

$k$  being  $< n$ , and  $= 1$  when  $k = n$ ;

$$\therefore A = \frac{f^{(n)}a}{1 \cdot 2 \dots n},$$

which was to be found.

(ε).

